**Conditional persistence and tolerance characterise
endoparasite-colonial host interactions**

Journal:	<i>Parasitology</i>
Manuscript ID	PAR-2016-0392.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Article - Standard
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Fontes, Inês; Eawag, Aquatic Ecology; ETH Zürich , Institute of Integrative Biology (IBZ); Natural History Museum, Department of Life Sciences Hartikainen, Hanna; Eawag, Aquatic Ecology; ETH Zürich, Institute of Integrative Biology (IBZ) Taylor, Nick; Cefas, AHH Okamura, B; Natural History Museum, Department of Life Sciences
Key Words:	Bryozoan, Myxozoan, Multiple transmission routes, Covert infection, Host condition, Terminal investment, Host fecundity, Infection intensity, Statoblasts, Totipotent stem cells

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

View

1 **Conditional persistence and tolerance characterise endoparasite-colonial host**
2 **interactions**

3

4 Inês Fontes^{1,2,3,4}, Hanna Hartikainen^{5,6}, Nick G. H. Taylor⁷ and Beth Okamura^{1*}

5

6 ¹ Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7

7 5BD, UK

8 ² Scottish Fish Immunology Research Centre, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 2TZ,

9 UK

10 ³ Current address: Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology,

11 Dübendorf, Switzerland

12 ⁴ Current address: ETH Zürich, Institute of Integrative Biology (IBZ), Zürich, Switzerland

13 ⁵ Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Dübendorf, Switzerland

14 ⁶ ETH Zürich, Institute of Integrative Biology (IBZ), Zürich, Switzerland

15 ⁷ Centre for Environment Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas), Weymouth, Barrack

16 Road, Dorset, DT4 8UB, UK

17

18 *** Corresponding author:**

19 Name: Prof Beth Okamura

20 Address: Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London

21 SW7 5BD, UK

22 Email address: b.okamura@nhm.ac.uk

23 Tel. No.: +44 (0)2079 426631

24

25 Running headline: Colonial host-endoparasite interactions

26 **Summary**

27 Colonial hosts offer unique opportunities for exploitation by endoparasites resulting from
28 extensive clonal propagation, but these interactions are poorly understood. The freshwater
29 bryozoan, *Fredericella sultana*, and the myxozoan, *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae*, present
30 an appropriate model system for examining such interactions. *F. sultana* propagates mainly
31 asexually, through colony fragmentation and dormant propagules (statoblasts). Our study
32 examines how *T. bryosalmonae* exploits the multiple transmission routes offered by the
33 propagation of *F. sultana*, evaluates the effects of such transmission on its bryozoan host, and
34 tests the hypothesis that poor host condition provokes *T. bryosalmonae* to bail out of a
35 resource that may soon be unsustainable, demonstrating terminal investment. We show that
36 infections are present in substantial proportions of colony fragments and statoblasts over
37 space and time and that moderate infection levels promote statoblast hatching and hence
38 effective fecundity. We also found evidence for terminal investment, with host starvation
39 inducing the development of transmission stages. Our results contribute to a growing picture
40 that interactions of *T. bryosalmonae* and *F. sultana* are generally characterised by parasite
41 persistence, facilitated by multiple transmission pathways and host condition-dependent
42 developmental cycling, and host tolerance, promoted by effective fecundity effects and an
43 inherent capacity for renewed growth and clonal replication.

44

45 **Keywords:** bryozoan, myxozoan, multiple transmission routes, covert infection, host
46 condition, terminal investment, host fecundity, infection intensity, statoblasts, totipotent stem
47 cells.

48 **Key Findings**

49 - Infection of colony fragments and statoblast over space and time promotes persistence

50 - Infection increases host effective fecundity by enhancing statoblast hatching

51 - Host starvation induces terminal investment and transmission

52

For Peer Review

53 Introduction

54 Colonial hosts offer unique opportunities for exploitation by parasites as a consequence of
55 their extensive capacity for asexual reproduction that amplifies clonal genotypes over space
56 and time. Increases in colony size are achieved by budding of new modules that permits
57 colony growth trajectories to extend indefinitely. Modular budding can, in turn, lead to a
58 propensity for fragmentation and the establishment of daughter colonies elsewhere (Jackson
59 and Coates, 1986). Colony fragmentation is particularly appreciated in corals (Highsmith,
60 1982), often as a consequence of disturbance, and can be reflected in population genetic
61 structure (e.g. Williams *et al.* 2014). However, fragmentation has also been observed in
62 ascidians (Bullard *et al.* 2007), sponges (Wulff, 1991), zoanthids (Acosta *et al.* 2001),
63 gorgonians (Lasker, 1984), hydroids (Bavestrello *et al.* 2000), and marine and freshwater
64 bryozoans (O'Dea, 2006; Wood, 1973). In addition, many colonial invertebrates in seasonal
65 environments produce dormant propagules from which small colonies emerge when
66 conditions improve (e.g. sponge gemmule, hydroid cysts and frustules, bryozoan
67 hibernaculae and statoblasts). Such processes of clonal propagation rely fundamentally on
68 totipotent cells that enable budding and post-budding differentiation. These processes also
69 offer parasites and pathogens unique opportunities for transmission and spread via infection
70 of a genetically homogeneous (barring mutations) but potentially disjunct resource over space
71 and time.

72

73 Much of our limited understanding of colonial host-parasite interactions is based on emerging
74 diseases of corals (e.g. Ward *et al.* 2007; Ushijima *et al.* 2012), which, being novel, lack any
75 prolonged period of coevolution. Mechanisms of defence and exploitation may therefore be
76 only partially established at best in these systems. Identifying strategies resulting from long
77 term reciprocal interactions requires examination of established colonial-host parasite

78 systems. Freshwater bryozoans and endoparasitic myxozoans offer such a system and recent
 79 investigations have begun to provide novel insights into how these endoparasites take
 80 advantage of unique traits associated with host coloniality. For example, infections of
 81 *Buddenbrockia allmani* Allman, 1856 are transmitted to some 99% of daughter colonies
 82 produced by fission of the bryozoan, *Lophopus crystallinus* Pallas, 1768 (Hill and Okamura,
 83 2007) and preliminary evidence suggests that *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* Canning *et al.*
 84 2002, similarly undergoes transmission when colonies of its bryozoan host, *Fredericella*
 85 *sultana* Blumenbach, 1779, undergo fragmentation (Morris and Adams, 2006). In addition,
 86 both *B. allmani* and *T. bryosalmonae* are able to infect asexual seed-like propagules
 87 (statoblasts) produced by their bryozoan hosts (Hill and Okamura, 2007; Hartikainen *et al.*
 88 2013; Abd-Elfattah *et al.* 2014) - a process that can be equated to vertical transmission since
 89 statoblast hatching effects recruitment when conditions improve. Finally, transmission of *T.*
 90 *bryosalmonae* is shown to depend on host condition, with energetically-expensive spore
 91 production coinciding with periods of vigorous bryozoan growth (Hartikainen and Okamura,
 92 2012). This host-condition dependent developmental cycling occurs as bouts of observable,
 93 spore-producing overt infections that impact host growth and reproduction, and inapparent
 94 covert infections (*sensu* Sorrell *et al.* 2009) that are persistent, not transmitted horizontally
 95 and impose little cost. Covert infections of *T. bryosalmonae* have no apparent impact on host
 96 growth and are sustained when conditions are suboptimal for bryozoan hosts (Tops *et al.*
 97 2006; Hartikainen and Okamura, 2012).

98

99 Despite recent insights, how myxozoans exploit opportunities for transmission offered by
 100 colonial hosts and the concomitant effects on hosts remain poorly understood. Transmission
 101 achieved during colony fragmentation has received initial laboratory study but with low
 102 sample sizes and is therefore scarcely documented. Thus, seven out of 22 fragments released

from three colonies were found to be infected and artificial fragmentation of a single colony demonstrated infection in four of five recruited fragments (Morris and Adams, 2006). In addition, how infection levels influence transmission, whether transmission to statoblasts influences viability, how parasites respond to hosts in very poor condition and how covert infections influence host fecundity remain largely unknown. Gaining insights on such issues is essential for understanding colonial host-parasite interactions. The aims of our study were therefore to more robustly examine how *T. bryosalmonae* takes advantage of the multiple transmission routes offered by *F. sultana*, to evaluate the effects of such transmission on its bryozoan host, and to test the hypothesis that poor host condition provokes *T. bryosalmonae* to undergo terminal investment in order to bail out of a resource that may soon be unsustainable. We therefore investigated the following questions related to these aims: 1) What proportions of colony fragments are infected and do these vary in space and time? 2) Does infection of statoblasts and of maternal colonies influence statoblast hatching and thus parasite transmission? 3) Does infection influence host fecundity? 4) Does host starvation induce production of infectious stages?

Materials and methods

(a) Study system

Freshwater bryozoans are sessile suspension feeders that are common but overlooked constituents of freshwater habitats (Wood and Okamura, 2005). *T. bryosalmonae* infects freshwater bryozoans as primary hosts, particularly the species *F. sultana*. Secondary hosts are salmonids in which infections cause PKD – a disease affecting wild and farmed fish in Europe and North America (see Okamura *et al.* 2011 for review).

128 *F. sultana* reproduces mainly asexually (Wood, 1973) via colony growth and the production
129 of colony fragments and statoblasts. A limited period of sexual activity has been observed in
130 some sites in summer (Wood, 1973; A. Gruhl pers. comm.; Okamura pers. obs.) although we
131 have never observed larval production in numerous sites in southern England (Fontes, pers.
132 obs.; Okamura pers. obs.; Tops pers. obs.). During the summer, *F. sultana* colonies increase
133 in size by budding zooids to form branching colonies. Currents and other forms of
134 disturbance cause branches to detach and these colony fragments then attach and establish
135 new colonies elsewhere (Wood, 1973). *F. sultana* statoblasts are bean-shaped, asexually-
136 produced, dormant stages (approximately 1mm in longest dimension) that develop
137 throughout the growing season (Wood, 1973). Statoblasts overwinter and hatch in early
138 spring, giving rise to a new colony. In many sites, colonies of *F. sultana* also overwinter.
139
140 Cryptic stages of *T. bryosalmonae* that cause covert infections are single cells associated with
141 the bryozoan body wall and are detected by PCR. Overt infections are detected using a
142 stereomicroscope when multicellular sacs filled with spores can be seen circulating in the
143 host body cavity. Sac development is induced when bryozoan hosts are vigorously growing in
144 response to higher food levels (Hartikainen and Okamura, 2012) and temperatures (Tops *et*
145 *al.* 2009). Such overt infections reduce growth (Tops *et al.* 2009) and cause temporary
146 castration of *F. sultana* by depressing statoblast production (Hartikainen and Okamura,
147 2012). Overt infections can be maintained for months in laboratory conditions favourable for
148 bryozoan growth, however moderate to high field prevalences of overt infections are only
149 detected for weeks coinciding with periods of enhanced bryozoan growth in late spring and
150 autumn (Okamura *et al.* 2011; Hartikainen and Okamura, 2015). Spores produced within sacs
151 are released in the water and are infectious for a brief time (degrading in < 24h; de Kinkelin
152 *et al.* 2002) to a range of salmonid fish (Okamura *et al.* 2011). Infection trials have confirmed

that brown and brook trout serve as natural hosts in the UK and Europe (Feist *et al.* 2001; Morris and Adams, 2006; Grabner and El-Matbouli, 2008). However, infection of some fish is accidental. For example, exotic rainbow trout in European fish farms are dead-end hosts. Spore production coincides with periods when bryozoan hosts are able to support energetically-demanding infections. This is supported by laboratory feeding trials (Hartikainen and Okamura, 2012) the apparent disparity in overt infection duration in favourable laboratory (maintained for months) and field conditions (high prevalences and severities of infection being detected for weeks) and the spring and autumn peaks in overt infection prevalences in the field (Tops, 2004). Fish hosts are present year-round and are often observed to take shelter under the cover of roots that support dense populations of infected bryozoans (Fontes, pers. obs). This suggests that horizontal transmission could occur throughout the year and that peaks in overt infection prevalences enable maximising transmission to fish by exploiting bryozoan hosts that can support the production of large numbers of spores.

(b) Colony fragments

F. sultana colony fragments were collected in early and late summer (June and September) from three streams in southern England: the River Avon (SU14613 12741), the River Dun (SU342926 8576) (both sampled on 22/06/12 and 10/09/12) and the River Itchen (SU49860 32170) (sampled on 24/06/13 and 10/09/13). In each river three submerged alder tree roots that were known to harbour *T. bryosalmonae*-infected *F. sultana* populations were gently agitated. Colony fragments released by agitation were caught in a mesh panel or colander held approximately 0.5 m downstream from the root system for up to 5 min or until >100 fragments were captured. Water temperature at each root system was measured during each sampling trip. Root sizes were roughly estimated on the first sampling trip as length x width x

height. The material collected was retained in aerated river water at room temperature for 24h prior to examination of individual colony fragments (using a stereo-microscope at 10-40x magnification) to count the number of zooids (to characterise fragment size), to identify overt infection (requiring dissection to confirm the presence of *T. bryosalmonae* sacs), to determine if mature statoblasts were present, and if dead/moribund (no functional zooids). Fragments were then preserved in 100% EtOH. Fragments that were not overtly infected were screened using PCR (see below) to characterise proportions of uninfected and covertly infected fragments. The number of fragments screened by PCR was determined by availability or, when numerous, by haphazardly choosing 30-40 fragments per root.

(c) Statoblasts

We undertook further analyses of data for material collected in southern England as reported in Abd-Elfattah *et al.* (2014) and present new data on statoblast infection intensity. Briefly, *F. sultana* maternal colonies were subsampled from three root systems in the Rivers Avon and Dun on 29/08/12 and 05/09/12, respectively. Statoblasts were collected from these colonies and routine methods were employed to assess hatching. These entailed storing in deionised water at 4°C for 5 months to simulate winter and then placing statoblasts in artificial pond water at 20°C to allow hatching over a 7 day period. Infection status of maternal colonies and statoblasts is described in Abd-Elfattah *et al.* (2014). We present results of Generalised Linear Mixed Models (GLMMs) (see below) to determine whether maternal colony factors (infection status and infection severity) influence variation in statoblast infection status and intensity, propensity to hatch and to analyse effects of infection on host fecundity (statoblast production). We used zooid number to examine effects of maternal colony size in analyses. Fecundity was characterised by standardising to the number of statoblasts per zooid. Because

we have never observed *F. sultana* statoblasts to hatch after 7 days using our routine methods, it is unlikely that infection status would have influenced hatching.

(d) Response to host starvation

Haphazardly chosen branches (of ~3-5 zooids in size) of *F. sultana* were detached from three submerged alder tree root systems in the River Itchen (SU49860 32170) during relatively favourable conditions for bryozoans (10/09/13). Detached branches were placed in cool water for transport to the laboratory. Mixing of fragments ensured their random placement in groups of 12 on 60 plastic petri dishes (20 dishes per treatment) for three days to induce attachment via the adherent growing tips. The attaching colony fragments (henceforth referred to as colonies) were acclimated to laboratory conditions by daily additions of food-rich water (approx. 2mL) from bryozoan culture systems (mesocosms). We note that recovery from field collection is likely to have initially compromised host condition as it requires healing and attachment to the substratum. Infection status could not be determined a priori because covert infection detection requires PCR and field-collected bryozoans are opaque, precluding detection of overt infections. Any infections present were gained in the field prior to collection, as transmission is achieved by spores released from brown trout *Salmo trutta* Linnaeus, 1758.

Petri dishes with attached colonies were placed into a bryozoan culturing system (mesocosm, representing benign conditions) and into two aerated tanks with diluted mesocosm water (representing starvation conditions). The benign condition treatment (henceforth referred to as 'undiluted') was a 10L tank that serves as a component of recirculating, aerated mesocosms that offer temperatures and food levels conducive for bryozoan growth (see Hartikainen and Okamura, 2012 for further details on mesocosm design). The starvation

227 treatments consisted of two aerated 10L tanks filled with deionised water. In one tank
228 (Dilution 1), 0.5L of deionised water was replaced by mesocosm water every other day. In a
229 second tank (Dilution 2), 1L of mesocosm water replaced deionised water every other day.
230 The tanks in all treatments were exposed to constant light and relatively warm temperature
231 ($18 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$) conditions. Our laboratory studies demonstrate that low temperatures and
232 reduced food levels inhibit overt infections of *T. bryosalmonae* and reduce the growth of *F.*
233 *sultana*. Thus, by conducting starvation experiments at a relatively warm temperature that is
234 conducive to sac production we could determine specifically the effects of food deprivation.
235 We note that the temperature employed is relatively high but not unprecedented.
236 Temperatures experienced by bryozoans taken at the site on the River Itchen where material
237 was collected ranged from 6.9-15.1°C (as measured once every 45 days over one year).
238 Temperatures up to 16°C were measured at a site on the River Cerne (Dorset, UK) where
239 infected bryozoans are present (as measured once every three weeks from spring to autumn:
240 Tops, 2004). Bruneaux et al. (2016) report July temperatures of around 20°C in a river in
241 Estonia where brown trout are infected by *T. bryosalmonae*. Petri dishes were placed in
242 inverted positions in tanks to prevent sedimentation and smothering of colonies. From the
243 third day onwards 9-11 colonies were removed every other day from each treatment and
244 dissected to determine their infection status and severity and to assess colony growth and
245 mortality. The first determination of infection status thus took place seven days after colonies
246 were collected. This first experiment (Experiment 1) was run for 11 days (13/09/13 to
247 24/09/13).

248

249 Food deprivation was characterised by determining chlorophyll-a concentrations as measures
250 of food availability using methods as outlined in Appendix S1. The numbers of cells in a
251 1mL Sedgewick Rafter Counter were independently determined to estimate food levels.

To test for consistency and time-dependency of responses and to determine whether the imposed starvation conditions eventually led to reduced growth and increased colony mortality, a transplant experiment (Experiment 2) was conducted following the 11-day Experiment 1 using all the available material. Because the chlorophyll a concentrations did not differ between the two dilution treatments and preliminary analyses suggested similar parasite and bryozoan responses, Experiment 2 involved two treatments: undiluted and starvation imposed by the Dilution 1 regime as described earlier. Accordingly, the remaining Petri dishes with colonies from all treatments were distributed as equally as possible to new treatments (diluted and undiluted). Half of the colonies were dissected after seven days (02/10/13) and the other half on the final 14th day of the transplant experiment (09/10/13) to determine infection status and severity and to assess colony growth and mortality.

(e) Characterising infections

Appendix S2 outlines how covert infections were detected and how infection intensity was estimated semi-quantitatively based on inspection of band intensity on agarose gels after end-point PCR (with thresholds potentially precluding accurate estimates of very high and low estimates). This technique provided a cheap alternative to qPCR that, within a range of DNA concentrations, provides insights on infection intensities. Infection severities of fragments and maternal colonies were calculated by dividing infection intensity by the number of live zooids.

Selected samples positive for *T. bryosalmonae* were verified by direct sequencing on an ABI PRISM[®] 3700xl DNA analyser (Applied Biosystems) using BigDye v1.1 chemistry. Seventeen positive fragments (one per root per river during each sampling trip with the

exception of Root A in the River Itchen in June which yielded no fragments) and four positive statoblasts (two from the River Dun, two from the River Avon) were selected haphazardly.

Contamination was variously controlled. We retained bryozoans for 24 hours to purge any ingested spores via faeces and to promote breakdown of free spores (the soft spores of *T. bryosalmonae* become inviable and presumably degrade over 12-24 hours; De Kinkelin *et al.* 2002). We also conducted PCR on the artificial pond water supplied to hatching statoblasts and employed negative controls during DNA extractions and PCR amplification.

(f) Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using R version 2.15.1 (R Core Team, 2014). Generalised Linear Models (GLMs) that assumed a binomial error distribution were used to test differences between the proportions of covertly-infected fragments in early and late summer in the three rivers and also between the proportions of infected colonies between host starvation treatments and experimental shredding dates. GLMs that assumed a Gaussian error distribution were used to test differences in the: mean infection severity of covertly infected fragments between rivers and months; mean infection intensity of infected statoblasts between rivers; mean fecundity of infected and uninfected maternal colonies; and mean covert infection severity and respective sampling dates in the host starvation study. A Gaussian error distribution was used for these response variables as parasites are typically log-normally distributed. GLMs that assumed a Poisson error distribution were used to test differences in colony size according to host starvation treatment, shredding dates within treatments and the last experimental day. Colonies were not expected to vary in size amongst treatments at the start of the experiment as these were randomly allocated. The GLM results

were presented using Likelihood ratio tests to test the goodness of fit of a full model containing the variable in question against the reduced model.

Relationships between infection state, features of hosts and parasites (Table 1) and environmental factors were assessed with GLMMs following methods in Zuur *et al.* (2009). The infection state variables were: 1) infection status of fragments or statoblasts (presence vs. absence); 2) conditional on an infection being present in statoblasts, the intensity of infection, and; 3) conditional on a covert infection being present in fragments, infection severity. For infection status we used the package lme4 version 1.1-7 (Bates *et al.* 2015) and assumed a binomial error distribution, whereas for infection intensity and severity we used the package nlme version 3.1-117 (Pinheiro *et al.* 2016). GLMMs were also used to assess the effects of infection status and intensity on hatching status as well as colony fragment size on statoblast presence. Variability in numbers of fragments and statoblasts and in infection intensity and severity between rivers, roots, months and maternal colonies was investigated graphically. Random effects models were then built to find the optimal random structure using restricted maximum likelihood estimation (REML) and maximum likelihood estimation (ML) for infection intensity and status (presence/absence), respectively. Univariable analyses were used to explore the influence of each fixed explanatory variable (Table 1). Only those variables with P-values < 0.25 were included in a maximal model using ML estimation following visual inspection of the data. Variables and interactions were then removed from the maximal model in a stepwise fashion by establishing whether their removal caused a significant change in the model's AIC value. Random intercept and slope models with intercept values of the significant fixed effects (i.e. the random effects included in the model are allowed to have differing intercepts and slopes in relation to the fixed effect) were compared to random intercept models and only retained if they led to a significant reduction

in a model's log-likelihood. The results presented for infection intensity and severity (except Likelihood Ratio Tests) were based on REML. If, for a particular analysis, random effects were not included (when they explained little variability), models were run with only fixed effects using a GLM with the appropriate error distribution. In this case, we then proceeded with the same approach as in GLMM to optimise the model so that only significant explanatory variables ($P \leq 0.05$) were retained.

Results from starvation experiments were examined by contingency table analysis to analyse the frequencies of colonies according to infection status (uninfected, covertly infected, overtly infected). Because sac development and release occurs rapidly (within three days; Canning and Okamura, 2003) and we did not know when sac development might commence, subsets of material were sampled every other day across all treatments. While low sample sizes within each sampling time preclude analysing temporal effects, our main aim, to examine overall evidence for overt infection development and transmission in response to starvation, is not compromised. A one-way ANOVA was used to test differences in mean chlorophyll-a concentrations per treatment.

Results

(a) Colony fragments

We collected a total of 717 bryozoan fragments (live colonies or dead but with statoblasts) from the three roots in each of the three rivers in early ($n = 391$) and late ($n = 326$) summer. Overall, 204 fragments were covertly infected, 204 were uninfected and six were overtly infected. A further 303 fragments were not overtly infected and were not screened by PCR. Infection status varied across roots, rivers and times (see Table 2). Dead or moribund

fragments that contained no mature statoblasts were not included in analyses nor were dead or moribund fragments with statoblasts ($n = 26$). As expected, these were collected in larger numbers in September (see Table 2). Sequences of representative fragments showed 100% sequence similarity to *T. bryosalmonae* collected from the UK (KJ150280 from bryozoans and KF805631 from trout), confirming the identity of our material.

Covertly infected fragments were collected in all three rivers (see Fig. 1) with mean covert infection prevalences ranging from 25.6-68.4%. There was a significantly higher proportion of covertly infected fragments released in September than in June in the Rivers Avon and Itchen (25.6% and 68.4% in June and September in the River Avon; GLM assuming a binomial error distribution: regression coefficient = 2.400 ± 0.443 SE, $z = 5.414$, d.f. = 4, $P < 0.001$) (48.3% and 56.5% in June and September in the River Itchen; regression coefficient = 1.445 ± 0.620 SE, $z = 2.330$, d.f. = 3, $P = 0.020$). However, there was no significant difference in the proportion of covertly infected fragments in June (44.9%) and September (39.2%) in the River Dun (GLM assuming a binomial error distribution: regression coefficient = -0.156 ± 0.331 SE, $z = -0.472$, d.f. = 4, $P = 0.637$). Overtly-infected fragments were rare in all three rivers and on all sampling dates (prevalence range = 0 - 3.4%) and were not included in analyses.

Roots sampled within rivers and sampling date nested within roots explained a large amount of variation in fragment infection status (e.g. see Table 2) and these factors were therefore included as random effects within GLMMs (as River/Root/Date) to assess the significance of fixed explanatory variables (Table 1). Only colony (fragment) size was found to be significantly associated with infection status (Likelihood Ratio Test: $\chi^2 = 4.952$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.026$), with a unit increase in the number of zooids (i.e. one zooid) increasing the likelihood

of infection by 1.040 times (odds ratio). The optimal model had a random intercept (i.e. we assumed the effect of colony size on infection status is the same for all dates per root, between roots and between rivers) and a non-random slope. Notably, statoblast production was not significantly associated with fragment infection status.

The log infection severity (i.e. infection intensity standardised for colony size) of covertly infected fragments was significantly higher in September than in June in the Rivers Avon (GLM assuming a Gaussian error distribution: regression coefficient = 2.453 ± 0.374 SE, $t = 6.555$, d.f. = 56, $P < 0.001$) and Itchen (GLM assuming a Gaussian error distribution: regression coefficient = 1.9422 ± 0.856 SE, $t = 2.269$, d.f. = 64, $P = 0.027$) but not in the River Dun (GLM assuming a Gaussian error distribution: regression coefficient = 0.016 ± 0.410 SE; $t = 0.039$, d.f. = 64, $P = 0.969$) (Fig. 2).

Because rivers and months within rivers explained a large amount of the variation in infection severity, these factors were included as random effects in GLMMs (as River/Month) to assess the significance of fixed explanatory variables (Table 1). Only root size was significantly associated with infection severity (Likelihood Ratio Test: $D = 4.728$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.030$), with a unit increase in root size (i.e. 1 m^3) decreasing infection severity by $-0.419 (\pm 0.187 \text{ SE})$. The optimal model had a random intercept (i.e. we assumed the effect of root size on infection severity is the same between sampling dates per river and between rivers) and a non-random slope. Statoblast production was not significantly associated with infection severity.

(b) Statoblasts

Maternal colony identity substantially influenced variation in statoblast infection status (see Fig. S1) and was therefore specified as a random effect in the GLMM to assess the significance of fixed explanatory variables (Table 1). Only hatching status came close to our significance threshold (Likelihood Ratio Test: $\chi^2 = 3.713$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.054$), with hatched statoblasts being 3.28 times (odds ratio) more likely to be infected than unhatched statoblasts, mirroring results based on frequency analyses (Abd-Elfattah *et al.* 2014). Removal of one outlying point causing a large amount of variation between maternal colonies (colony #199, with 70 statoblasts) strengthened the relationship between hatching and statoblast infection status (Likelihood Ratio Test: $\chi^2 = 4.433$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.035$). In this case, hatched colonies were 3.65 times (odds ratio) more likely to be infected. Infection severity of the maternal material also had a significant effect on statoblast infection status (Likelihood Ratio Test: $\chi^2 = 14.539$, d.f. = 1, $P < 0.001$; when colony #199 was excluded), with a unit increase in infection severity of maternal material (i.e. 1 ng/ μ l) increasing the likelihood of a statoblast being infected by 1.08 times (odds ratio). Optimal models had random intercepts (i.e. we assumed the both the effect of hatching status on statoblast infection status and that of infection severity of maternal colonies on statoblast infection status are the same for all maternal colonies) and a non-random slope.

There was no variation in infection intensity of statoblasts collected from the two rivers. Maternal colony identity explained most of the variation in statoblast infection intensity and was included as a random effect in GLMMs to assess the significance of fixed explanatory variables (Table 1). Simplification of the maximal model did not identify any of the further maternal colony characters as significant explanatory variables. Hatching status was not tested due to low sample size in the unhatched group ($n = 3$). However, the three unhatched statoblasts exhibited an average infection intensity of 1.9 ng/ μ L while that of the hatched

group ($n = 62$) was $0.5 \text{ ng}/\mu\text{L}$, suggesting a trend for reduced hatching success in statoblasts with heavier covert infections.

Maternal colony infection status had a significant effect on fecundity (GLM assuming a Gaussian error distribution: $t = 2.206$, d.f. = 70, $P = 0.031$), with the log number of statoblasts produced per zooid being $0.627 (\pm 0.284 \text{ SE})$ times higher in infected colonies than in uninfected colonies. The mean fecundity of infected material was $0.75 \text{ statoblasts/zooid}$ ($\text{SD} = 1.052$, $n = 63$) and of uninfected material was $0.40 \text{ statoblasts/zooid}$ ($\text{SD} = 0.349$, $n = 12$). When material from the outlying covertly infected ‘colony #199’ was excluded, infection still influenced fecundity with the mean fecundity of infected material = $0.63 \text{ statoblasts/zooid}$ ($\text{SD} = 0.530$, $n = 62$) (GLM assuming a Gaussian error distribution: regression coefficient = $0.580 \pm 0.266 \text{ SE}$, $t = 2.179$, d.f. = 69, $P = 0.033$).

(c) Response to host starvation

Measurements of chlorophyll-a concentrations indicated that food levels were reduced by dilution with mean measurements [and SD] being $2.16 [0.47]$, $2.24 [0.70]$, and $13.79 [3.52] \mu\text{g/L}$, in the Dilution 1, Dilution 2, and undiluted treatments, respectively (controls were $0.27 [0.06] \mu\text{g/L}$). Concentrations were not significantly different between the two dilution treatments (One-Way ANOVA: d.f. = 1, $F = 0.004$, $P = 0.949$) and analyses were therefore conducted on pooled data. These results were supported independently by cell counts in a Sedgewick Rafter counting chamber (control = 0, Dilution 1 = 0, Dilution 2 = 301, Undiluted = 802).

Some 40% of the colonies successfully attached to petri dishes ($n = 299$ of 720). Similar proportions of infected colonies were assigned to the treatments (Table S1) (assessed a

posteriori for all the colonies per treatment; 84.3% and 78.1% for undiluted and the pooled diluted treatments, respectively) (Likelihood Ratio Test: $D = 0.861$, d.f. = 2, $P = 0.354$). Only two colonies died during the experiment, both in the diluted treatment (Table S1).

Both covert and overt infections were detected over the 11 days of the first experiment (Table S1). A total of 22 overt infections were observed and 20 of these were expressed in starved bryozoans. Accordingly, overt infection frequencies were dependent on treatment (Chi-square test using Yates correction: $\chi^2 = 4.070$, df = 1, $P = 0.022$; based on assumption of equal frequencies in diluted and undiluted treatments; see Fig. 3). Covert infection severity was unaffected by treatment (Likelihood Ratio Test: $D = 3.158$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.123$).

The remaining 152 colonies from the 11-day Experiment 1 were incorporated into the food dilution ($n = 86$ colonies) and undiluted ($n = 66$ colonies) treatments in the 14-day Experiment 2 transplant (see Table S2). Colonies originally from the undiluted treatment in Experiment 1 that were assigned to the undiluted treatment in Experiment 2 increased significantly in size over the 14-days of the experiment (mean colony size in undiluted treatment on the last day of first experiment = 4.2 zooids, SD = 4.2, $n = 10$; mean colony size in undiluted treatment at end of the transplant experiment = 10.0 zooids, SD = 10.5, $n = 14$) (Likelihood ratio test: $D = 27.824$, d.f. = 1, $P < 0.0001$). In contrast, colonies that were moved from the undiluted treatment in Experiment 1 to the diluted condition in Experiment 2 did not increase in size (mean colony size at the end of the experiment = 3.4, SD = 1.7, $n = 25$) (Likelihood ratio test: $D = 1.224$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.269$). In addition, colonies from starvation conditions in Experiment 1 did not increase in size when maintained in the undiluted treatment of Experiment 2 (mean colony size in starvation treatment on the last day of Experiment 1 = 3.2 zooids, SD = 2.0, $n = 19$; mean colony size in the dilution treatment at

end of Experiment 2 = 3.9 zooids, SD = 2.7, n = 51) (Likelihood ratio test: $D = 2.039$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.153$). These results provide evidence that there was insufficient time for growth responses during the 11 days of Experiment 1 and that food dilution substantially reduced growth.

Mortality was also dependent on treatment - more colonies originally from starvation conditions and subsequently maintained in the food dilution treatment died (8 dead in 60 colonies sampled) than when transplanted to the undiluted treatment (1 dead in 52 colonies sampled) (Chi-square test with Yates correction: $\chi^2 = 3.485$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.031$). Overt infections were only observed to develop in two of the 152 colonies examined during the transplant experiment, providing evidence that overt infections were largely expressed during the first 11 day study (developing in 22 of 156 colonies). Covert infections were routinely sampled throughout both experiments and characterised overall some 66% (103 of 156, discounting dead colonies) of colonies sampled during the first 11 day study and some 59% (85 of 143, discounting dead colonies) of colonies incorporated in the subsequent transplant study.

Discussion

(a) Infection over space and time

To our knowledge, our study provides the first demonstration of substantial transmission of parasites as a result of fragmentation of colonial hosts over space and time. Thus, the mean proportions of covertly-infected fragments collected across rivers and times ranged from 26% to nearly 75% and varied inconsistently amongst rivers, times and roots systems. Infection of fragments will result in the simultaneous dispersal of both the parasite and the susceptible

host. Larger fragments were more likely to carry covert infections. However, because infections do not promote colony growth (Tops *et al.* 2009) we suggest this reflects a higher probability of detecting infection when more parasite DNA is present. Larger fragments may also have proportionally more parasite DNA as a result of exposure to multiple infections and within-host parasite proliferation over a longer time period. However, we note that inferring age from fragment size is highly problematic because regression, partial colony mortality and fragmentation itself will leave behind small but relatively old portions of previously larger colonies. There is currently no method to estimate age from field collected colonies.

The substantial transmission of cryptic stages via covertly infected colony fragments (as shown here) and in statoblasts (as shown by Abd-Elfattah *et al.* 2014) should promote persistence of infections in bryozoans that propagate asexually over space and time. Such persistence enables continued exploitation of a genetic resource that has supported successful invasion and development. Amplification of infection achieved by transmission to colony fragments and statoblasts is likely to contribute to the high prevalences of covert infections in field populations (e.g. on some occasions >80% of *F. sultana* colonies; Fontes, 2015). Such amplification may increase the likelihood of periodic horizontal transmission to fish, with virulent overt infections developing when bryozoans are in good condition and can support the explosive production of numerous parasite sacs that cause gigantism of zooids (Hartikainen *et al.* 2013). *T. bryosalmonae* clearly, however, hedges its bets and undergoes developmental cycling. Thus, most colonies retain covert infections after release of spores for transmission to fish (e.g. 64%; Tops *et al.* 2009). Accordingly, cycling between covert and overt infections is evident in *F. sultana* colonies maintained in laboratory microcosms (Tops *et al.* 2009; Hartikainen pers. obs.) and also in *B. allmani* infecting *L. crystallinus* (Hill and Okamura, 2007). Such cycling should further contribute to persistent infection.

526

527 Persistence as a bet-hedging strategy that increases survival via alternation of phenotype is
 528 likely to be common in clonal populations of parasites and pathogens, as well as free-living
 529 microbes. For example, *Bacillus subtilis* Cohn, 1872, achieves such persistence via a
 530 developmental switch that generates ‘bistability’ – the presence of two distinct
 531 subpopulations (Veening *et al.* 2008). When nutrient sources diminish certain cells form
 532 highly resistant endospores. The population is then comprised of sporulating and vegetative,
 533 non-sporulating cells that utilise alternate secondary metabolites. The vegetative cells are
 534 poised for rapid growth given a new influx of nutrients while the sporulators are committed
 535 to spore formation. Host-condition dependent development of two phenotypes in *T.*
 536 *bryosalmonae* is rather similar. Mature sacs develop and release infectious spores when
 537 bryozoan hosts are well-nourished while infection is maintained by cryptic stages during sub-
 538 optimal conditions. It is proposed in the case of bacteria that the simultaneous specialisation
 539 of cells achieves optimal use of resources in the long run as a result of different reproductive
 540 potentials under unpredictably varying environments (Veening *et al.* 2008). Populations of
 541 bacteria and myxozoans may thus have convergently adopted a form of bet-hedging based on
 542 a strategy of persistence and environmental variability in clonal populations. Other parasites
 543 and pathogens that persist as quiescent, asymptomatic or covert infections may be similarly
 544 convergent (e.g. viruses in insects; Bonsall *et al.* 2005; Sorrell *et al.* 2009, other invertebrate
 545 parasites and pathogens; Okamura, 2016, and the causative agent of malaria; Sutherland,
 546 2016).

547

548 **(b) Impacts on host**

549 We found that infection generally promoted statoblast hatching– hatched statoblasts were >3
 550 times more likely to be infected than unhatched statoblasts. Infections therefore increase the

‘effective fecundity’ of bryozoans, a term we prefer to ‘recruitment’ since the latter should be addressed through field studies. Covert infections were also associated with a nearly twofold increase in the fractional number of statoblasts produced per zooid. This latter result may reflect a further direct and positive effect of infection on fecundity. Alternatively, the result may reflect correlations of both infection and statoblast production with zooid age (older, mature zooids that undergo statoblast production are also more likely to be infected). This scenario is supported by a greater likelihood of statoblast production (GLM with binomial error distribution: estimate = 0.099 ± 0.026 , $z = 3.776$, $P < 0.001$) and of infections in larger fragments. If the apparent increase in fecundity reflects correlations with age, it follows that covert infections exert little influence on the number of statoblasts produced per zooid. These outcomes contrast with those for overtly infected colonies that produce few, small and deformed statoblasts (Hartikainen *et al.* 2013) with poor hatching success (Hartikainen and Okamura, 2012). We also found that greater covert infection severities in maternal colonies increased the likelihood of statoblast infection, suggesting that proliferation of cryptic stages increases the chance of the infection being incorporated into developing statoblasts. However, we also found poor hatching success for statoblasts harbouring high intensity covert infections, a result most likely reflecting the combined demands of parasites and hosts on vitellogenic supplies. Overall these results suggest that levels of infection in maternal colonies and statoblasts will influence statoblast hatching, that there is a tipping point beyond which positive impacts of infection on hatching disappear but that, in general, transgressing this tipping point is avoided. As discussed later, these traits may promote host tolerance of infection.

(c) Terminal investment

575 Spore production may not only be timed to coincide with periods of host vigour but might
576 also be induced if hosts are highly stressed and resource quality is poor. In short, parasite
577 fitness may be threatened if hosts are likely to disappear. We have addressed this issue and
578 provide the first demonstration that bryozoan host starvation and impending death may
579 provoke transmission. In particular we found that overt infections were expressed
580 disproportionately in hosts subjected to low food levels. Notably, parasites were apparently
581 able to detect and respond to cues associated with poor host condition and to produce overt
582 infections before host development was itself evidently compromised (in the form of reduced
583 growth and increased mortality). Such detection is in keeping with a strategy of terminal
584 investment (Clutton-Brock, 1984). Thus, horizontal transmission at a time of high risk of host
585 mortality may offer an escape route, contingent on encountering new hosts. Infection of fish
586 in winter (Gay *et al.* 2001) may be explained by this response as colonies will be in poor
587 condition at this time.

588

589 The lack of overt infection development in the majority of covertly infected colonies in our
590 starvation study may be explained by variation in host condition or in the ability or
591 propensity of parasites to respond accordingly. It seems unlikely that resistance to overt
592 infection development can generally explain this result in view of the expense of mounting an
593 immune response when subject to starvation. A more likely scenario is that there was simply
594 insufficient energy to support the development of cryptic stages to sacs in most cases. This is
595 supported by the nearly complete conversion of covert to overt infection observed when
596 bryozoans were placed in highly productive laboratory mesocosm environments (Hartikainen
597 and Okamura, 2012). It is also possible that some hosts are inappropriate but are still infected.
598 For example, arrested development of myxozoans has been demonstrated in certain strains of
599 oligochaetes (Baxa *et al.* 2008) and this may, for example, explain the lack of overt infection

development that was observed in a small number of covertly-infected bryozoans maintained at high food levels (Hartikainen and Okamura, 2012). This explanation is, however, also unlikely to broadly explain the results reported here. We note that the bryozoans generally appeared to habituate to the warm laboratory temperatures. Relatively few (12 out of 311 colonies sampled) died over the course of the two studies. Indeed, most mortality was associated with bryozoans experiencing consecutive low food treatments ($n = 11$) providing evidence for the success of our study design.

(d) Host tolerance and resistance

It is increasingly appreciated that animal defences against infectious diseases can incorporate both resistance and tolerance (Råberg *et al.* 2009). Tolerance as explicitly defined by evolutionary ecologists is the relationship between host fitness and parasite density, and its demonstration thus necessitates experimental designs akin to modelling reaction-norms across environmental gradients. These can be highly challenging because they require accommodating for variation in host and parasite genotypes and environment and in disentangling the joint control of outcomes (e.g. Little *et al.* 2010; Graham *et al.* 2011). The interactions of *F. sultana* with *T. bryosalmonae* are in keeping with tolerance. For example, despite high virulence (castration), *F. sultana* supports overt infections of *T. bryosalmonae* when in good condition and may limit (i.e. tolerate) the harm done because statoblast production is resumed during periods of covert infection. Intriguingly, we found that infected statoblasts actually experienced greater hatching success than uninfected statoblasts (except for those few with high infection intensities). Infection thus promotes a higher ‘effective fecundity’ and this may help to compensate for costs of infection. Such dynamics are possible because totipotent cells in colonial hosts enable the development of new zooids and propagules (statoblasts and larvae), thus restoring colony growth and fecundity. Potential

drivers for tolerance could be: 1) the costs of mounting an effective immune response to overt infection, and; 2) the benefits of infection on recruitment (statoblast hatching).

The possibility that *F. sultana* prevents overt infection development requires evaluation as this would imply resistance. Controlled laboratory studies demonstrate increased overt infection prevalences when bryozoan growth is enhanced at higher food levels (Hartikainen and Okamura, 2012) and warmer temperatures (Tops *et al.* 2006; Tops *et al.* 2009). Overt infections also peak in the field during periods of bryozoan growth (Tops, 2004). A resistance scenario would thus imply that immune responses suppress overt infections during sub-optimal or adverse conditions for bryozoans. We pose two arguments against this view. First, food-deprived hosts are often immunocompromised (Singer *et al.* 2014). Second, our starvation study demonstrated increased development of overt infections in bryozoans deprived of food, thus providing evidence that at least some hosts experiencing adverse conditions are unable to suppress infection development (whether or not an immune response is elicited). Present data therefore provide little support for suppression of infection – instead they paint a picture of host tolerance facilitated by a colonial life history that can sustain waxing and waning infections as a result of the capacity for renewed colony growth and clonal replication in a seasonal environment.

(e) Concluding remarks

Coloniality has evolved repeatedly across the Metazoa and a unique correlate of coloniality is the spread of clonal genotypes over space and time due to the proliferation of physiologically-connected modules (zooids). Typically, the inherent capacity for unlimited growth leads to fragmentation of colonies as a result of breakage, partial predation and programmed colony fission. Many colonial invertebrates also achieve clonal spread by the

production of asexual propagules. These processes contribute to further clonal spread and create complex demographic profiles for colonial invertebrates. Our study highlights how parasites take advantage of the distinct opportunities afforded by colonial hosts, achieving transmission via host fragmentation and infection of asexual propagules to enable persistent infection. However, they can also undergo terminal investment if hosts are highly stressed. Hosts may tolerate such exploitation because fecundity is effectively enhanced when they are moderately infected, thus compensating for the costs of infection. Notably, the strategies of parasite persistence and host tolerance inferred here are associated with a multiplicity of transmission pathways and host-dependent developmental cycling that depend on colonial attributes that afford and accommodate these processes. Our investigations further establish bryozoans and myxozoans as the model system for understanding the evolutionary ecology of colonial hosts and their endoparasites. Accordingly, we predict that host tolerance and parasite persistence will characterise many colonial host-parasite systems. Indeed such strategies may have provided the foundation required for the symbiotic associations evident in many sponges, corals, bryozoans and ascidians.

Acknowledgements

We thank all field assistants and are grateful to Darren Butterworth (River Avon), Robert Starr (River Dun), Mrs Pearse and Winchester College (River Itchen) for access to collecting sites. We are also grateful to comments from reviewers that have helped us to improve our manuscript.

Financial support

673 The work was funded by a NERC Open CASE Studentship (NE/019227/1) to B.O. and C.
674 Secombes (Univ. Aberdeen). Additional funding for I.F. was provided by the Fundação para
675 a Ciência e a Tecnologia (SFRH/BD/86118/2012) and Pescanova, S.A.

676

For Peer Review

References

- Abd-Elfattah, A., Fontes, I., Kumar, G., Soliman, H., Hartikainen, H., Okamura, B. and El-Matbouli, M.** (2014). Vertical transmission of the *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* (Myxozoa), the causative agent of proliferative kidney disease. *Parasitology* **141**, 482-490. doi: 10.1017/S0031182013001650.
- Acosta, A., Sammarco, P. and Duarte, L.** (2001). Asexual reproduction in a zoanthid by fragmentation: the role of exogenous factors. *Bulletin of Marine Science* **68**, 363-381.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. and Walker, S.** (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**, 1-48.
- Bavestrello, G., Puce, S., Cerrano, C., Castellano, L. and Arillo, A.** (2000). Water movement activating fragmentation: a new dispersal strategy for hydractiniid hydroids. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **80**, 361-362.
- Baxa, D.V., Kelley, G.O., Mukkatira, K.S., Beauchamp, K.A., Rasmussen, C. and Hedrick, R.P.** (2008). Arrested development of the myxozoan parasite, *Myxobolus cerebralis*, in certain populations of mitochondrial 16S lineage III *Tubifex tubifex*. *Parasitology Research* **102**, 219-228. doi: 10.1007/s00436-007-0750-1.
- Bonsall, M.B., Sait, S.M. and Hails, R.S.** (2005). Invasion and dynamics of covert infection strategies in structured insect-pathogen populations. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **74**, 464-474. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2005.00945.x.
- Bruneaux, M., Visse, M., Gross, R., Pukk, L., Saks, L. and Vasemägi, A.** (2016). Parasite infection and decreased thermal tolerance: impact of proliferative kidney disease on a wild salmonid fish in the context of climate change. *Functional Ecology*. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12701.
- Bullard, S.G., Sedlack, B., Reinhardt, J.F., Litty, C., Gareau, K. and Whitlatch, R.B.** (2007). Fragmentation of colonial ascidians: differences in reattachment capability among

- species. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **342**, 166-168. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.034.
- Canning, E.U. and Okamura, B.** (2003). Biodiversity and evolution of the Myxozoa. *Advances in Parasitology* **56**, 43-131. doi:10.1016/S0065-308X(03)56002-X.
- Clutton-Brock, T.H.** (1984). Reproductive effort and terminal investment in iteroparous animals. *The American Naturalist* **123**, 212-229.
- De Kinkelin, P., Gay, M. and Forman, S.** (2002). The persistence of infectivity of *Tetracapsula bryosalmonae*-infected water for rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). *Journal of Fish Diseases* **25**, 477-482. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2761.2002.00382.x.
- Feist, S.W., Longshaw, M., Canning, E.U. and Okamura, B.** (2001). Induction of proliferative kidney disease (PKD) in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* via the bryozoan *Fredericella sultana* infected with *Tetracapsula bryosalmonae*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **45**, 61-68. doi: 10.3354/dao045061.
- Fontes, I.** (2015). *Life history, distribution and invertebrate host-parasite interactions of the causative agent of proliferative kidney disease (PKD), Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae*. PhD thesis, The University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK.
- Gay, M., Okamura, B. and de Kinkelin, P.** (2001). Evidence that infectious stages of *Tetracapsula bryosalmonae* for rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* are present throughout the year. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **46**, 31-40. doi: 10.3354/dao046031.
- Grabner, D.S. and El-Matbouli, M.** (2008). Transmission of *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* (Myxozoa: Malacosporea) to *Fredericella sultana* (Bryozoa: Phylactolaemata) by various fish species. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **79**, 133-139. doi: 10.3354/dao01894
- Graham, A.L., Shuker, D.M., Pollitt, L.C., Auld, S.K.J.R., Wilson, A.J. and Little, T.J.** (2011). Fitness consequences of immune responses: strengthening the empirical framework for ecoimmunology. *Functional Ecology* **25**, 5-17. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01777.x.

- 727 **Hartikainen, H. and Okamura, B.** (2012). Castrating parasites and colonial hosts.
728 *Parasitology* **139**, 547–556. doi: 10.1017/S0031182011002216.
- 729 **Hartikainen, H. and Okamura, B.** (2015). Ecology and evolution of malacosporean-
730 bryozoan interactions. *Myxozoan evolution, ecology and development* (eds B. Okamura, A.
731 Gruhl, and J.L. Bartholomew), pp. 201-216. Springer International Publishing, Cham,
732 Switzerland.
- 733 **Hartikainen, H., Fontes, I. and Okamura, B.** (2013). Parasitism and phenotypic change in
734 colonial hosts. *Parasitology* **140**, 1403-1412. doi: 10.1017/S0031182013000899.
- 735 **Highsmith, R.C.** (1982). Reproduction by fragmentation in corals. *Marine Ecology Progress*
736 *series* **7**, 207-226.
- 737 **Hill, S.L. and Okamura, B.** (2007). Endoparasitism in colonial hosts: patterns and
738 processes. *Parasitology* **134**, 841-852. doi: 10.1017/S0031182007002259.
- 739 **Lasker, H.R.** (1984). Asexual reproduction, fragmentation, and skeletal morphology of a
740 plexaurid gorgonian. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **19**, 261-268.
- 741 **Little, T.J., Shuker, D.M., Colegrave, N., Day, T. and Graham, A.L.** (2010). The
742 coevolution of virulence: tolerance in perspective. *PLoS Pathogens* **6**, e1001006. doi:
743 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001006.
- 744 **Morris, D.J. and Adams, A.** (2006). Transmission of freshwater myxozoans during the
745 asexual propagation of invertebrate hosts. *International Journal for Parasitology* **36**, 371-
746 377. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.10.009.
- 747 **Neuhauser, S., Huber, L. and Kirchmair, M.** (2009). A DNA based method to detect the
748 grapevine root-rotting fungus *Roesleria subterranea* in soil and root samples.
749 *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* **48**, 59-72. doi: 10.14601/Phytopathol_Mediterr-2875.

- 750 **O'Dea, A.** (2006). Asexual propagation in the marine bryozoan *Cupuladria exfragminis*.
 751 *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **335**, 312-322. doi:
 752 10.1016/j.jembe.2006.04.002.
- 753 **Okamura, B.** (2016). Hidden infections and changing environments. *Integrative and*
 754 *Comparative Biology* **56**, 620-629. doi: 10.1093/icb/icw008.
- 755 **Okamura, B., Hartikainen, H., Schmidt-Posthaus, H. and Wahli, T.** (2011). Life cycle
 756 complexity, environmental change and the emerging status of salmonid proliferative kidney
 757 disease. *Freshwater Biology* **56**, 735-753. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2010.02465.x.
- 758 **Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D. and Team, R.C.** (2016). *nlme: linear and*
 759 *nonlinear mixed effects models*, R package version 3.1-117, [http://CRAN.R-](http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme)
 760 [project.org/package=nlme](http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme).
- 761 **R Core Team** (2014). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R: A*
 762 *language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing,
 763 Vienna, Austria.
- 764 **Råberg, L., Graham, A.L. and Read, A.F.** (2009). Decomposing health: tolerance and
 765 resistance to parasites in animals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London,*
 766 *Series B: Biological Sciences* **364**, 37-49. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2008.0184.
- 767 **Singer, M.S., Mason, P.A. and Smilanich, A.M.** (2014). Ecological immunology mediated
 768 by diet in herbivorous insects. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **54**, 913-921. doi:
 769 10.1093/icb/icu089.
- 770 **Sorrell, I., White, A., Pedersen, A.B., Hails, R.S. and Boots, M.** (2009). The evolution of
 771 covert, silent infection as a parasite strategy. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London.*
 772 *Series B: Biological Sciences* **276**, 2217-2226. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2008.1915.
- 773 **Sutherland, C.J.** (2016). Persistent parasitism: The adaptive biology of malariae and ovale
 774 malaria. *Trends in Parasitology* **32**, 808-819. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2016.07.001.

- 775 **Tops, S.** (2004). *Ecology, life history and diversity of malacosporeans*. PhD thesis,
776 University of Reading, Reading, UK.
- 777 **Tops, S., Hartikainen, H. and Okamura, B.** (2009). The effects of infection by
778 *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* (Myxozoa) and temperature on *Fredericella sultana*
779 (Bryozoa). *International Journal for Parasitology* **39**, 1003-1010. doi:
780 10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.01.007.
- 781 **Tops, S., Lockwood, W. and Okamura, B.** (2006). Temperature-driven proliferation of
782 *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* in bryozoan hosts portends salmonid declines. *Diseases of*
783 *Aquatic Organisms* **70**, 227-236. doi: 10.3354/dao070227.
- 784 **Tops, S. and Okamura, B.** (2003). Infection of bryozoans by *Tetracapsuloides*
785 *bryosalmonae* at sites endemic for salmonid proliferative kidney disease. *Diseases of Aquatic*
786 *Organisms* **57**, 221-226. doi: 10.3354/dao057221.
- 787 **Ushijima, B., Smith, A., Aeby, G.S. and Callahan, S.M.** (2012). *Vibrio owensii* induces the
788 tissue loss disease *Montipora* white syndrome in the Hawaiian reef coral *Montipora capitata*.
789 *PLoS ONE* **7**, e46717. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046717.
- 790 **Veening, J.-W., Smits, W.K. and Kuipers, O.P.** (2008). Bistability, epigenetics, and bet-
791 hedging in bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology* **62**, 193-210. doi:
792 10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.163002.
- 793 **Ward, J.R., Kim, K. and Harvell, C.D.** (2007). Temperature affects coral disease resistance
794 and pathogen growth. *Marine Ecology Progress series* **329**, 115-121. doi:
795 10.3354/meps329115.
- 796 **Williams, D.E., Miller, M.W. and Baums, I.B.** (2014). Cryptic changes in the genetic
797 structure of a highly clonal coral population and the relationship with ecological
798 performance. *Coral Reefs* **33**, 595-606. doi: 10.1007/s00338-014-1157-y.

- 799 **Wood, T.S.** (1973). Colony development in species of *Plumatella* and *Fredericella*
800 (Ectoprocta: Phylactolaemata). *Animal colonies, development and function through time* (eds
801 R.S. Boardman, A.H. Cheetham and W.A.J. Oliver). Hutchinson and Ross, Stroudsburg,
802 USA.
- 803 **Wood, T.S. and Okamura, B.** (2005). *A new key to the freshwater bryozoans of Britain,*
804 *Ireland and continental Europe, with notes on their ecology*. Freshwater Biological
805 Association, Ambleside, Cumbria, UK.
- 806 **Wulff, J.L.** (1991). Asexual fragmentation, genotype success, and population dynamics of
807 erect branching sponges. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **149**, 227-247.
- 808 **Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N., Walker, N.J., Saveliev, A.A. and Smith, G.M.** (2009). *Mixed*
809 *effects models and extensions in ecology with R*, 1st Edn. Springer, New York.
- 810

Table 1. Fixed explanatory variables according to different categories that were incorporated in mixed model statistical analyses (GLMMs) of colony fragments and statoblasts. See methods for further explanation.

GLMM	Categories of explanatory variables	Explanatory variable
Colony fragments	Environmental factors	Temperature (°C)
		Root size (m ³)
	Fragment characters	Number of zooids
		Statoblast presence
Statoblasts	Maternal colony characters	Number of zooids
		Brood size
		Infection status (PCR of colonies or statoblasts)
		Infection severity (calculated by dividing infection intensity by colony size)
	Statoblast characters	Hatching status (hatched vs. unhatched)

816 **Table 2.** Summary data on the number of colony fragments that were collected from the Rivers Avon, Dun and Itchen in each of the two
817 sampling periods. Data are the total number of fragments for each sampling period (and the number of fragments on Roots 1, 2 and 3) that were:
818 alive but not producing statoblasts (- stats); alive and producing statoblasts (+ stats); dead with no statoblasts (- stats); dead with mature
819 statoblasts (+ stats). The last three columns provide data for the number (and percentage) of covertly infected and uninfected fragments that were
820 identified by PCR for Roots 1, 2 and 3, and the number (and percentage) of overtly infected fragments that were identified by dissection. See
821 Materials and Methods for further details on sampling to determine infection status.

River	Month	Alive (- stats)	Alive (+ stats)	Dead (- stats)	Dead (+ stats)	Covertly infected	Uninfected	Overtly infected
Avon	June	183 (54, 56, 73)	58 (5, 18, 35)	2 (2, 0, 0)	0	8 (27%), 11 (34%), 5 (16%)	22 (73%), 21 (66%), 27 (84%)	0, 1 (1%), 0
	Sept	40 (14, 24, 2)	3 (1, 2, 0)	4 (0, 1, 3)	1 (0, 0, 1)	10 (67%), 23 (88%), 2 (67%)	5 (33%), 3 (12%), 1 (33%)	0
Dun	June	121 (37, 26, 58)	15 (0, 4, 11)	9 (1, 2, 6)	1 (0, 0, 1)	10 (31%), 20 (69%), 10 (33%)	22 (69%), 9 (31%), 20 (67%)	2 (5%), 1 (3%), 1 (1%)
	Sept	124 (29, 12, 83)	18 (3, 0, 15)	44 (22, 7, 15)	5 (0, 0, 5)	12 (38%), 3 (25%), 11 (50%)	20 (63%), 9 (75%), 11 (50%)	0
Itchen	June	13 (0, 3, 10)	0	1 (0, 0, 1)	0	0, 2 (67%), 3 (30%)	0, 1 (33%), 7 (70%)	0
	Sept	115 (78, 32, 5)	1 (0, 1, 0)	477 (146, 235, 96)	19 (1, 18, 0)	38 (81%), 35 (73%), 1 (20%)	9 (19%), 13 (27%), 4 (80%)	1 (1%), 0, 0

822 **Table and figure captions:**

823

824 **Figure 1.** The mean proportion (and n-values) of bryozoan fragments that were uninfected,
825 and covertly infected (including colonies with and without statoblasts) across three roots in
826 the Rivers Avon (a), Dun (b) and Itchen (c) in June and September. Bars are one standard
827 error.

828 **Figure 2.** Boxplot and mean (black circles) covert infection severity of released bryozoan
829 fragments in the Rivers Avon, Dun and Itchen at two time points (June and September). N
830 values above bars are the numbers of covertly-infected fragments.

831 **Figure 3.** Proportions of colonies according to infection status (uninfected, covertly and
832 overtly infected) sampled over all dates in the diluted and undiluted treatments in Experiment
833 1.

Figure 1. The mean proportion (and n-values) of bryozoan fragments that were uninfected, and covertly infected (including colonies with and without statoblasts) across three roots in the Rivers Avon (a), Dun (b) and Itchen (c) in June and September. Bars are one standard error.

183x423mm (600 x 600 DPI)

Figure 2. Boxplot and mean (black circles) covert infection severity of released bryozoan fragments in the Rivers Avon, Dun and Itchen at two time points (June and September). N values above bars are the numbers of covertly-infected fragments.

53x35mm (600 x 600 DPI)

Figure 3. Proportions of colonies according to infection status (uninfected, covertly and overtly infected) sampled over all dates in the diluted and undiluted treatments in Experiment 1.

53x35mm (600 x 600 DPI)

Supporting Information

The following Supporting Information is available for this article online:

Table S1. Results from Experiment 1 by diluted (Dilution 1 and 2) and undiluted treatment and day during the experiment when colonies were sampled. Results are: the number of *Fredericella sultana* colonies that were uninfected, covertly infected, overtly infected, dead, and that contained statoblasts and the number of *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* sacs.

Table S2. Results from Experiment 2 (transplant experiment) by source and final treatments and day during the experiment when colonies were sampled. Results are: the number of *Fredericella sultana* colonies that were uninfected, covertly infected, overtly infected, dead, and that contained statoblasts and the number of *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* sacs.

Figure S1. Frequencies of infected maternal colonies according to the proportion of statoblasts infected in their brood.

Appendix S1. Methods used to extract chlorophyll-a from water samples taken from each treatment.

Appendix S2. Methods used to detect covert infections and to estimate infection intensity semi-quantitatively.

Table S1

Treatment	Day in study	Number of colonies					Number of sacs
		Uninfected	Covertly infected	Overtly infected	Dead	Containing Statoblasts	
Dilution 1	3	3 (27.3%)	6 (54.6%)	2 (18.2%)	0	0	2
	5	5 (50.0%)	2 (20.0%)	3 (30.0%)	0	0	7
	7	3 (30.0%)	7 (70.0%)	0	0	0	0
	9	3 (30.0%)	6 (60.0%)	1 (10.0%)	0	1 (10.0%)	2
	11	0	10 (100.0%)	0	1 (9.1%)	0	0
Dilution 2	3	1 (6.7%)	11 (73.3%)	3 (20.0%)	0	0	8
	5	5 (50.0%)	2 (20.0%)	3 (30.0%)	0	0	4
	7	1 (11.1%)	5 (55.6%)	3 (33.3%)	0	0	21
	9	2 (18.2%)	5 (45.5%)	4 (36.4%)	1 (8.3%)	1 (9.1%)	17
	11	0	8 (88.9%)	1 (11.1%)	1 (10.0%)	0	3
Undiluted	3	4 (36.4%)	7 (63.6%)	0	0	0	0
	5	2 (22.2%)	7 (77.8%)	0	0	1 (11.1%)	0
	7	2 (20.0%)	7 (70.0%)	1 (10.0%)	0	1 (10.0%)	2
	9	0	10 (90.9%)	1 (9.1%)	0	0	3
	11	0	10 (100.0%)	0	0	0	0

Table S2

	Final Treatment	Day in study	Number of colonies					Number of sacs
			Uninfected	Covertly infected	Overtly infected	Dead	Containing Statoblasts	
Dilution 1	Dilution 2	7	7 (50.0%)	7 (50.0%)	0	0	1 (7.1%)	0
		14	13 (65.0%)	7 (35.0%)	0	4 (0.2%)	0	0
7		3 (30.0%)	7 (70.0%)	0	1 (0.1%)	1 (10.0%)	0	
14		2 (22.2%)	7 (77.8%)	0	2 (0.2%)	0	0	
Undiluted		7	2 (14.3%)	12 (85.7%)	0	1 (0.1%)	2 (14.3%)	0
		14	9 (81.8%)	2 (18.2%)	0	0	1 (9.1%)	0
Dilution 1	Undiluted	7	0	13 (100.0%)	0	0	0	0
		14	10 (62.5%)	6 (37.5%)	0	0	0	0
7		0	13 (86.7%)	2 (13.3%)	0	0	5	
14		6 (85.7%)	1 (14.3%)	0	1 (0.1%)	0	0	
Undiluted		7	0	6 (100.0%)	0	0	0	0
		14	4 (50.0%)	4 (50.0%)	0	0	0	0

Appendix S1

To estimate chlorophyll-a concentrations 3 x 2L (V_{sample}) water samples from each treatment and a control (deionised water) were collected at the end of the experiment with the exception of the undiluted treatment where 1L replicates were collected. Each water sample was filtered through a Whatman[®] glass microfiber filter, Grade GF/D (diameter 4.25cm), which was then preserved at -80°C in a 50mL plastic tube wrapped in foil to exclude light. An ethanol extraction protocol was used on the frozen filters. Each filter was suspended in 20mL of 100% EtOH and boiled for 3sec in a water bath (at 78.5°C). The tubes were agitated and allowed to stand in the dark overnight at room temperature to extract the pigments. The next day 2.22mL of water was added to make a 90% EtOH solution, the filters were removed and the tubes were centrifuged for 7min at 3000rpm. The extract was decanted into 15mL plastic tubes and its volume measured (V_{extract}). The pigment extract solution was pipetted into cuvettes with 10mm cell pathlength (d) and the absorbance was measured at wavelengths of 665nm (for chlorophyll-a; A_{665}) and 750nm (to correct for background turbidity; A_{750}) using a Shimadzu UV-1800 UV/Visible Scanning Spectrophotometer. The undegraded chlorophyll-a ($\mu\text{g/L}$) was calculated using the formula:

$$[12.2 * (A_{665} - A_{750}) * V_{\text{extract}}] / (d * V_{\text{sample}}).$$

Appendix S2

DNA was extracted from bryozoan samples (fragments, maternal colonies and statoblasts) using a hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol (Tops & Okamura 2003). To detect covert infections we undertook a PCR assay using primers diagnostic for *T. bryosalmonae* 18S rDNA [514F_new (5'-ATTCAGGTCCATTCGTGAGTAACAAGC-3') and 776R (5'-GCTGATACACCCAATTAAGGGCAG-3')] to produce an amplicon of 244bp using PCR cycling conditions as described in Hartikainen, Fontes and Okamura (2013). Each sample was subjected to two separate PCR reactions, which were analysed in parallel as described below. PCR products were run in a standardised fashion on 1.5% agarose electrophoretic gels, stained with GelRed™ (250mL 1x TAE (Tris-acetate-EDTA), 3.3g agarose and 8.3µL GelRed™). 3µL of each PCR product (V_{product}) and 2.5µL of Bioline HyperLadder™ I were loaded to gels, the latter being added to every gel row. Gels were run in fresh 1x TAE at 100v in a large Fisherbrand® Horizontal Electrophoresis Apparatus Model HU13W for 45min and were visualised on a UVP EpiChemi™ II Darkroom with a UVP UV transilluminator, always using the same settings. Infection intensity (ng of amplified parasite DNA per µL) was characterised semi-quantitatively (see below) from gel images inferred from two separately-run PCR replicates. The maximum pixel intensity per gel band was measured using the 1D-Multi analysis tool in AlphaEase®FC version 6.0.0 with a “rubber band” background subtraction method. The product's molecular weight (MW) was then obtained by calibrating the average maximum pixel intensity of the band (I) against the molecular weight of the 200bp ladder ($MW_{200\text{bp band}}$) based on 10ng (in 2.5µL). The following equation was then used to calculate the product's molecular weight: $[(I_{\text{product}} \times MW_{200\text{bp band}})/I_{200\text{bp band}}]/V_{\text{product}}$. Values for the average parasite DNA concentration were transformed to natural logarithms to linearise the data. This method is based on that used in Neuhauser, Huber and Kirchmair (2009). We tested its efficiency by comparing the gel band

intensity values of nine randomly selected covertly infected colonies with SYBR Green qPCR quantification values (Fontes *et al.*, submitted). There was a significant correlation between the quantification methods: MW in mol/L (by qPCR) = $-4.908\text{e-}07 + 2.024\text{e-}07 \times \text{MW in ng/}\mu\text{L (by gel intensity)}$ ($R^2 = 90.6\%$; correlation coefficient = 0.952; Analysis of Variance test: d.f. = 8, $F = 67.66$, $P < 0.001$) (see Fig. S2). These results support the use of gel band intensity as an estimate of infection intensity.

Figure S2. Linear relationship between the mean values of two duplicate measures of infection intensity: molecular weight (MW) of the gel band intensity, following standard PCR, and MW in mol/L quantified by qPCR. The regression equation is presented at the top of the graph.