The chlorite proximitor: A new tool for detecting porphyry ore deposits
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Abstract

The major, minor and trace element chemistry of chlorite were evaluated as a tool for mineral exploration in the propylitic environment of porphyry ore deposits. Chlorite from eighty propylitically altered samples, located up to 5 km from the Batu Hijau Cu–Au porphyry deposit in Indonesia, was analyzed using electron microprobe and laser ablation inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry. The results show that a variety of elements, including K, Li, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ti, V, Mn, Co, Ni, Zn and Pb, are probably incorporated in the chlorite lattice and display systematic spatial variations relative to the porphyry center. Ti, V and Mg decrease exponentially in concentration with increasing distance, whereas the others increase. Raising the former to the latter provides a variety of ratios that vary up to four orders of magnitude, providing sensitive vectoring parameters. Chlorite geothermometry suggests that Ti is substituted into chlorite as a function of crystallization temperature and thus maps out the thermal anomaly associated with the mineralized center. By contrast, Mn and Zn display a maximum in chlorite at a distance of ~1.3 km that mirrors the whole rock anomaly for these metals, reflecting their lateral advection into the wall rocks by magmatic-hydrothermal fluids. The recognizable footprint defined by chlorite compositions extends to at least 4.5 km, significantly beyond the whole rock anomalism (~1.5 km) and thus represents a powerful new exploration tool for detecting porphyry systems. Variations in chlorite chemistry are very systematic in the inner propylitic zone (to distances of ~2.5 km), thereby providing a precise vectoring tool in a domain where other tools are typically ineffective. In this zone, equations of the form:

\[ x = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{R}{a} \right)}{b} \]

can be formulated, where the distance to center, x, is predicted based on a variety of element ratios in chlorite R, and where a and b are exponential fit parameters. Importantly, distal chlorite compositions in porphyry-related propylitic alteration systems are also shown to be distinct from metamorphic chlorite, allowing the external fringes of porphyry-related hydrothermal systems to be distinguished from “background” regional metamorphism or geothermal alteration.

1. Introduction

Porphyry ore deposits represent remarkable accumulations of metals, in particular Cu, Mo and Au, which are precipitated from hydrothermal fluids in an intrusive host and surrounding country rocks. Deposits are typically centered within an alteration halo that displays characteristic mineralogical and chemical zoning patterns (Lowell & Guilbert, 1970). This footprint is a key guide for exploration, providing a larger (up to 10 km radius) target area within which mineralization may exist. However, the mineral assemblages that characterize these alteration zones may be present within barren hydrothermal systems, or produced by processes such as regional metamorphism. Discriminating mineralized and barren environments, locating hydrothermal centers within or beneath a zone of altered rocks, and recognizing the fringes of ore systems continue to be great challenges to the exploration industry. From a scientific standpoint, the controls on the formation of these huge alteration zones are incompletely understood.

Here, we present microprobe and laser ablation inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) analyses of chlorite from the...
propylitic alteration halo of the giant Batu Hijau porphyry copper–gold deposit in Indonesia. The results represent a breakthrough for exploration because they show that the chemistry of chlorite, one of the most common alteration minerals in hydrothermal systems, varies systematically and can be used as a tool to determine the direction towards and estimate the distance from mineralized intrusions. Chlorite geothermometry suggests that some trace elements (e.g., Ti) may be controlled by crystallization temperature, reflecting conduction and advection of heat away from a magmatic-hydrothermal center. Anomalous concentrations of metals that are typically enriched in porphyry magmatic-hydrothermal fluids indicate that either fluxes of magmatic fluids influence a much larger volume of rock than previously thought, or extensive outward remobilization or dispersion of magmatic metals occurs as circulating formation waters at elevated temperature. Chlorite compositions from the distal fringes of the porphyry system are also distinct from metamorphic chlorites, providing a practical environmental discriminator.

2. The green rock environment

The ‘green rock’ environment of propylitic alteration, in which hydrothermal minerals such as actinolite, albite, epidote, calcite and chlorite form, typically represents the most distal, weakest imprint of hydrothermal activity. Such alteration may develop in a wide range of ore deposit systems, including porphyry Cu, Cu–Mo or Cu–Au, epithermal Au–Ag, and during retrograde alteration of skarns (Cooke et al., 2014; Dilles et al., 1992; Gustafson & Hunt, 1975; Lowell & Guibert, 1970; Meinert, 1992; Schwartz, 1947; Seedoff et al., 2005; Sillitoe, 2000, 2010; Simmons et al., 2005). Traditionally, this domain has been one of the most difficult to explore within because the weak alteration intensity commonly renders conventional geochemical and geophysical techniques ineffective for locating mineralization.

In porphyry systems, propylitic alteration is now generally regarded as temporally equivalent to the higher temperature potassic zone (e.g., Sillitoe, 2010). Propylitic alteration has been subdivided into several subzones (e.g., Ballantyne, 1981; Cooke et al., 2014; Norman et al., 1991), with an inner, high temperature subzone (actinolite–epidote–chlorite), grading outward into intermediate (epidote–chlorite–calcite) and then distal subzones (chlorite–calcite–hematite). These assemblages have been mapped in detail in several porphyry systems (Garwin, 2000, 2002; Norman et al., 1991) and in contemporary geothermal environments (Rae et al., 2003). Propylitic alteration is tacitly considered to be quite well understood, yet there is a paucity of research, particularly in the recent literature, on chemical mass transfer or isotope systematics that might constrain the relative roles of magmatic, meteoric and formation waters in its development. One study of the Ann Mason porphyry in the Yerington district, Nevada, documented oxygen and hydrogen isotope compositions of propylitically altered samples that were indistinguishable from primary igneous rocks (Dilles et al., 1991) and in contemporary geothermal environments (Rae et al., 2003).

Propylitic alteration is tacitly considered to be quite well understood, yet there is a paucity of research, particularly in the recent literature, on chemical mass transfer or isotope systematics that might constrain the relative roles of magmatic, meteoric and formation waters in its development. One study of the Ann Mason porphyry in the Yerington district, Nevada, documented oxygen and hydrogen isotope compositions of propylitically altered samples that were indistinguishable from primary igneous rocks (Dilles et al., 1991), with calculated oxygen and hydrogen fluid compositions consistent with either magmatic–meteoric fluid mixtures or cooling and equilibration of magmatic fluids with country rocks. In contrast, Norman et al. (1991) showed that at Tintic, Utah, there were systematic variations in chlorite and epidote major element compositions within the various propylitic subzones and in the oxygen and hydrogen isotope compositions of altered rocks and propylitic minerals, with an outward decrease in δ18O and δD. This was attributed to the mixing between unevolved meteoric water on the fringes of the system with isotopically-exchanged meteoric water in the core of the system (or possibly a late incursion of magmatic water), apparently influenced by the prevailing view at the time that meteoric waters dominated much of the life of porphyry-hydrothermal systems (Sheppard et al., 1971). Such data today would probably be interpreted as reflecting the mixing between primary magmatic waters and unevolved meteoric waters during the initial phase of potassic–propylitic alteration. At Bingham, Utah, Bowman et al. (1987) documented a number of systematic changes with increasing distance from the deposit: (1) changes in the major element composition of biotite, epidote and chlorite; (2) decreases in fluid inclusion homogenization temperatures and salinities; and (3) decreasing calculated δ18O and increasing δD. These patterns were interpreted in terms of either mixing between magmatic fluids and an increasing proportion of formation water enriched in deuterium, or isotopic exchange of meteoric water with igneous rock at low water/rock ratios over a range of temperatures. Again, the possibility that the calculated isotope compositions of fluids could be explained purely by magmatic fluids undergoing cooling and exchange with host rocks at decreasing temperature, although modeled as viable, was not considered likely.

Studies of the trace element chemistry of propylitic minerals in porphyry systems are limited. In particular, we are not aware of any detailed studies utilizing LA-ICP-MS which delivers much lower limits of detection than other routine methods. To test the potential of trace element chemistry of propylitic minerals as a monitor of hydrothermal processes in the proximal to distal alteration zones associated with intrusion-centered hydrothermal systems, we carried out a detailed study of the Batu Hijau porphyry copper–gold system on Sumbawa Island in Nusa Tenggara Barat Province, eastern Indonesia (Fig. 1).

3. Geological setting

Batu Hijau formed at ~3.7 Ma during collision between the Indian–Australian plate and the Timorese segment of the Banda arc, is possibly linked to subduction of the Roo Rise (Garwin, 2002). The Banda arc in this region consists of: (1) a Late Oligocene to Early Miocene calc-alkaline basaltic–andesitic arc (the “Old Andesites” of van Bemmelen (1949)); (2) a Middle Miocene to Pliocene calc-alkaline arc composed of basaltic to andesitic volcanic rocks and intrusions of calc-alkaline and tholeitic affinity (Hamilton, 1979; Hutchinson, 1989; Soerja-Atmadja et al., 1994); and (3) Quaternary basaltic to dacitic, and locally rhyolitic, volcanic cover. Batu Hijau is a classic example of a giant porphyry copper–gold deposit, containing 1.64 billion tonnes of ore at average grades of 0.44% Cu and 0.35 g/t Au.

The Batu Hijau district is located within a relatively uplifted block, and is within 30 km of a major arc-transverse, left-lateral oblique-slip fault zone, the trace of which coincides with the surface projection of an inferred tear or kink in the subducting slab. This fault controls the distribution of volcanosedimentary units, the location of Neogene intrusions and the present coastline of the island. The oldest exposed rock sequence comprises volcanic sandstone with minor volcanic mudstone and local limestones biostratigraphically dated at 21–15 Ma (Adams, 1984; Berggren et al., 1995). This is overlain by volcanic lithic breccia with minor volcanic sandstone and conglomerate. A variety of intermediate, hypabyssal intrusions were emplaced into this sequence between ~15 and 4 Ma (Garwin, 2000). Porphyritic hornblende tonalite was emplaced between 5.0 and 4.7 Ma, and porphyritic dacite about 3.9 Ma. At 3.8–3.7 Ma, the tonalite porphyry stock related to the Batu Hijau mineralization was emplaced. This is a subvolcanic, cylindrical, composite intrusion about 200–300 m in diameter, consisting of pre-mineralization “old tonalite”, syn-mineralization “intermediate tonalite” and post-main mineralization “young tonalite”. Late in the igneous evolution, an andesitic diatreme formed in the center of the Batu Hijau district.

Batu Hijau provides an ideal setting for a study of alteration mineral chemistry because it consists of a simple, strongly mineralized tonalite intrusion emplaced into a relatively homogeneous intermediate volcanic rock sequence that developed a classically
zoned hydrothermal alteration pattern (Fig. 2). Alteration consists of: (1) a core of intense biotite alteration (~400 m diameter) centered on the host tonalite porphyries; (2) an outer zone of weaker secondary biotite (extending an additional 500 m); (3) a high temperature propylitic sub-zone comprising actinolite ± epidote (veins and replacement) ± chlorite that forms a west-northwesterly-trending zone between Batu Hijau and the Sekongkang porphyry prospect (Fig. 2); (4) an intermediate temperature, epidote (replacement of plagioclase) ± chlorite zone that forms a broad alteration halo around the mineralized centers; and (5) a low temperature, epidote absent, chlorite zone (Garwin, 2002; Fig. 2). Hydrothermal pyrite extends approximately 1.5 km from the deposit center (Fig. 2). A complicating factor in the alteration history of the area, in addition to the weakly mineralized Sekongkang system, includes the presence of an epithermal vein system at Bambu, ~3 km to the west-southwest of Batu Hijau (Fig. 2).

Fig. 1. Map showing the location of Batu Hijau on Sumbawa Island, Indonesia, north of the Java Trench.

Fig. 2. Early stage alteration map of the Batu Hijau district (modified after Garwin, 2000) showing sample locations.
Epidote mostly occurs in the actinolite and epidote zones, where it typically occurs as patchy replacements (>20%) of plagioclase or hornblende phenocrysts or in pyrite- or quartz-bearing veins. In the outer epidote zone, less than 20% of the feldspar and mafic phenocrysts have been replaced and veins are rare, thin and discontinuous. Chlorite is observed in almost all of the rocks sampled, including slightly abnormal composition grains overprinting biotite in the inner biotite zone (see Section 5.1.1). Calcite is sporadic and the distribution irregular. Albite was rarely identified.

4. Methods

Eighty samples, principally of porphyritic andesite and volcanic lithic breccia (basalt to basaltic–andesite composition), plus two limestones and five samples of the ore-hosting tonalites, were collected from outcrop and drill core in a series of traverses away from the deposit from within the weak biotite, actinolite, epidote and chlorite propylitic sub-zones (Fig. 2). Sixty samples were subject to whole rock geochemical analysis (AA lithogeochemical package, ACME Laboratories, Vancouver). Sub-samples containing minerals of interest were prepared as polished resin mounts for SEM backscattered electron imaging of mineral relationships, electron microprobe wavelength dispersive analysis (EMP-WDS) and laser ablation inductively-coupled-plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS).

Major and minor elements in individual chlorite grains were determined using a Cameca SX100 electron microprobe housed in the Central Science Laboratories at the University of Tasmania. Major, minor and trace elements in the same grains were measured using a New Wave 193 nm solid-state laser coupled to an Agilent 7500cs quadrupole mass spectrometer, located in the School of Physical Sciences, Discipline of Earth Sciences, University of Tasmania. Typically, 5–10 spot analyses were acquired from each sample, from within 3–5 separate chlorite grains. In total, 527 LA-ICP-MS spot analyses meeting quality control criteria were obtained. Aluminium concentration determined by microprobe was used as the internal standard and NIST612 standard reference material was used for external calibration of the LA-ICP-MS results according to standard practice.

5. Results

Using standard classification criteria, chlorite compositions determined by microprobe correspond to rigidolites, with (on average) equimolar proportions of Fe and Mg (Fe/Fe + Mg = 0.51 ± 0.07 (1σ)). The only minor element consistently detected by microprobe was Mn with an average concentration of 0.44 wt.%. Laser ablation ICP-MS results are summarized as sample averages in Table 1. LA-ICP-MS detected Li, Na, Mg, Al, Si, K, Ca, Ti, V, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Ga, Sr, Y, Ba and Pb in more than 75% of the analyses and therefore these elements were the focus of the spatial inter interpolation of the data.

Backscattered electron imaging and laser ablation element maps of chlorite grains show that they are internally homogeneous (Fig. 3) and that distinct variations in trace element chemistry probably reflect true substitution into the crystal lattice, rather than the presence of microinclusions. This is supported by the flat traces typically observed during LA-ICP-MS spot analysis. Inclusions of other minerals, particularly calcite, titanite and zircon, were encountered but these parts of the signal were avoided during signal integration or, if heavily contaminated, the analysis was discarded.

5.1. Spatial variations in chlorite composition

5.1.1. Major and trace element concentrations

In map view, a number of chlorite compositional parameters show systematic spatial variability relative to the Batu Hijau center, particularly within a 2.5 km radius of the deposit. Notably, Ti and Sr are enriched and depleted respectively in chlorite proximal to the deposit (Fig. 4). Inspection of the Ti data suggests that samples located at distances greater than 3 km from Batu Hijau along the south-west sampling traverse do not fit the systematic pattern around the deposit and may be related to other factors (e.g., a protolith control, or proximity to an unknown, buried intrusion). The data also indicate that Ti concentrations are relatively high at a given distance from the deposit on the western sampling traverse; conversely, Sr concentrations are unusually low on this traverse. This suggests a degree of asymmetry in the pattern of trace element substitution in propylitic chlorite around Batu Hijau.

Plotting element concentrations as a function of radial distance from the center of the porphyry system reveals a number of patterns (Fig. 5). These can be divided into: (1) decreasing outward; (2) increasing outward; and (3) displaying a marked shoulder, or maximum, at a certain distance from the center of the system. Among group 1 elements, the most pronounced trend is the enrichment of Ti in proximal chlorite (Fig. 5A), which is mirrored by Mg. Other elements that decrease outward but with a greater degree of scatter are Al and V (Fig. 5B). In group 2, Li concentrations in chlorite increase sharply with distance in the more proximal samples and then level off, whereas Ca (Fig. 5C), Sr (Fig. 5D) and Ba appear to rapidly increase to above 1.5 km (similar to Li), with a lower rate of increase out to the limit of sampling (5 km). Boron shows a slight increase out to about 3 km. Group 3 elements are principally Mn, Fe (Fig. 5E) and Zn (Fig. 5F) which show evidence for an enrichment halo, or annulus, with peak concentrations at a distance of 1–1.5 km. Similar patterns of proximal Mg enrichment and more distal Fe and Mn enrichment in chlorite, determined by microprobe analysis, were previously reported from the Southwest Tintic district (Norman et al., 1991).

Chlorite compositions for a number of samples plot off the trends defined by the majority of the data and these are highlighted in Fig. 5. These include the most proximal samples, hosted by the pre- and post-mainstage mineralization tonalites from within 500 m of the center of the Batu Hijau orebody (which also yielded anomalous chlorite crystallization temperatures), samples from the vicinity of the Bambu epithermal veins, and samples from the western traverse as noted for Ti and Sr above.

5.1.2. Major and trace element ratios

In order to enhance compositional variations for the purposes of exploration applications, elements showing decreasing concentration outward patterns were ratioed to those showing increasing concentration outward patterns. These ratios typically decay exponentially as a function of distance out to a certain radius, at which point they stabilize at what is assumed to be the limit of the imprint of hydrothermal alteration where a transition to “background” occurs (Fig. 6). These ratios vary over a significant range of up to four orders of magnitude within the chlorite compositional

Fig. 3. Laser ablation ICP-MS element maps of chlorite from Batu Hijau. A. Proximal sample SRD284-95-C1b, 1085 m from the deposit center, shows homogeneous chlorite grain (defined by light pink areas in Mg and Al images) which is relatively enriched in Ti and low in Ca, Sr and Ba. Note the small circle, upper-right, which indicates the presence of a pre-existing laser ablation crater from spot analysis. B. More distal sample BH04-52-C1a, 1920 m from the center, illustrates a chlorite grain (best illustrated by the red area in the Fe images) with lower Ti and higher Ca, Sr and Ba. Note the three circles in the center of the grain indicating the presence of pre-existing laser ablation craters and the artifacts that are sometimes introduced at grain boundaries. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.).
halo attributable to the Batu Hijau center and show good exponential fit statistics with $r^2$ values of 0.65 to 0.82. Most ratios show systematic decreases out to ~2.5 km but some appear to extend further, to ~5 km (Table 2).

It is noteworthy that the slope of the exponential relationship appears to be a function of the orientation of the sample transect with respect to the Batu Hijau center. Traverses to the north, south and southwest of Batu Hijau display similar steep slopes whereas the series of samples to the west, following the inner propylitic and southwest of Batu Hijau display similar steep slopes whereas the series of samples to the west, following the inner propylitic and southwest of Batu Hijau display similar steep slopes whereas the series of samples to the west, following the inner propylitic alteration zone noted earlier the series of samples to the west, following the inner propylitic zone (Fig. 2). The steeper thermal end of the temperature range is consistent with the observed coexistence of chlorite with biotite and/or actinolite and with previous estimates of crystallization temperatures in the inner propylitic zone (e.g., Ballantyne, 1981; Bowman et al., 1987). The lowest temperatures are assumed to be underestimated, probably reflecting a breakdown of the thermodynamic model at low temperatures, but the strong systematic spatial variations suggest that the trend towards lower temperature chlorite crystallization in these samples is real.

There is a good positive correlation ($r^2 = 0.719$) between chlorite crystallization temperature and radial distance from the Batu Hijau center (excluding Sekongkang, Bambu and proximal tonalite/skarn samples), supporting the inference that these propylitic chlorites formed within the influence of the Batu Hijau hydrothermal system (Fig. 7). As with their trace element compositions, the proximal, tonalite- and skarn-hosted chlorite grains are anomalous, in having lower than expected calculated crystallization temperatures. The fact that these are observed in pre-, syn- and post-main stage mineralization tonalite and skarn samples implies that they represent a late chlorite-forming event, perhaps reflecting a final thermal collapse of the system that only affected the central ore zone.

In map view, the contoured chlorite thermometry data define a marked thermal high associated with Batu Hijau (Fig. 8) with a WNW–ESE extension that follows the trend of the inner propylitic alteration zone towards Sekongkang (Fig. 2). The steeper thermal gradients to the north, south and southwest of Batu Hijau are readily apparent and can explain the variable trends in trace element concentrations and element ratios in chlorite noted earlier.

5.2. Chlorite geothermometry

To test the hypothesis that temperature is the principal control of Ti variations in chlorite, we have computed crystallization temperatures of the chlorite grains analyzed using the six component thermodynamic model of Walshie (1986). This model requires knowledge of the concentrations of major substituting species (SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, FeO, MnO, MgO, Na$_2$O, K$_2$O, TiO$_2$, Cr$_2$O$_3$, CaO) which we have obtained from microprobe analysis.

Calculated temperatures range from 43–347 °C (Table 1). The upper end of the temperature range is consistent with the observed coexistence of chlorite with biotite and/or actinolite and with previous estimates of crystallization temperatures in the inner propylitic zone (e.g., Ballantyne, 1981; Bowman et al., 1987). The lowest temperatures are assumed to be underestimated, probably reflecting a breakdown of the thermodynamic model at low temperatures, but the strong systematic spatial variations suggest that the trend towards lower temperature chlorite crystallization in these samples is real.

5.3. Comparison with whole rock data

In order to provide significant added-value for exploration, mineral chemistry vectors need to define a broader footprint and/or give directional information at greater distances and/or with greater precision than can be obtained from conventional whole rock geochemistry. This was tested by generating probability plots for a range of conventional pathfinder elements in porphyry systems (Cu, Mo, Au and Zn), in the same samples from which the chlorite compositional data were obtained, in order to identify mineralization-related data populations. The whole rock data were then plotted in plan view (Fig. 9) and as a function of radial distance from the deposit center (Fig. 10) and the anomalous populations identified. These plots show that anomalous concentrations of Cu (>328 ppm), Mo (>0.97 ppm) and Au (>7.4 ppb) can be identified in samples up to 1 km from the Batu Hijau center. Concentrations of Zn (>111 ppm) are more variable but there are anomalous concentrations at 1.0–1.5 km, with rare elevated values extending as far as 2.3 km (Figs. 9, 10). However, these distal samples are only 500 m along strike from the Bambu epithermal veins (Fig. 10) so may have been influenced by this later system.

Although above-background concentrations of pathfinder elements in whole rock (mostly within 1.0 km) define a footprint consistent with the presence of mineralization in the vicinity, and do broadly increase towards the center, their vectoring potential is limited by significant scatter and lack of distal dispersion (e.g., Fig. 10). Chlorite is effective as a tool at distances beyond about ~800 m.
It is limited in its proximal applicability because of its disappearance from the higher temperature alteration assemblages where biotite becomes dominant. The trend defined by element ratios in chlorite can be used to indicate the presence of the Batu Hijau center at least 1 km beyond and in some cases more than 3.5 km beyond the distance outlined by conventional whole rock geochemistry. More importantly, chlorite also displays very systematic spatial trends that, conservatively, can be recognized in samples 500 m apart along a traverse orthogonal to the compositional gradient (i.e., towards the system center). Although protolith composition needs to be considered, there is no evidence at Batu Hijau (range in silica content of igneous host rocks from 41 to 69 wt.%) or any of the dozen or so major case studies in the wider AMIRA P765a research project, for a significant protolith effect on the trace elements reported here.

Consequently, we conclude that chlorite is a reliable tool for vectoring towards the hydrothermal center from outside of the whole rock geochemical anomaly associated with porphyry centers.

5.4. The chlorite proximitor

The spatial variations in trace element ratios in chlorite can be converted into simple exponential formulae that can be used to predict distance-to-center in porphyry systems such as Batu Hijau. These equations have the form:

\[ x = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{E}{\beta} \right)}{b} \]  

(Figs. 5, 6), starting from the outer edge of the whole rock anomalies. It is limited in its proximal applicability because of its disappearance from the higher temperature alteration assemblages where biotite becomes dominant. The trends defined by element ratios in chlorite can be used to indicate the presence of the Batu Hijau center at least 1 km beyond and in some cases more than 3.5 km beyond the distance outlined by conventional whole rock geochemistry. More importantly, chlorite also displays very systematic spatial trends that, conservatively, can be recognized in samples 500 m apart along a traverse orthogonal to the compositional gradient (i.e., towards the system center). Although protolith composition needs to be considered, there is no evidence at Batu Hijau (range in silica content of igneous host rocks from 41 to 69 wt.%), or any of the dozen or so major case studies in the wider AMIRA P765a research project, for a significant protolith effect on the trace elements reported here.

Consequently, we conclude that chlorite is a reliable tool for vectoring towards the hydrothermal center from outside of the whole rock geochemical anomaly associated with porphyry centers.

5.4. The chlorite proximitor

The spatial variations in trace element ratios in chlorite can be converted into simple exponential formulae that can be used to predict distance-to-center in porphyry systems such as Batu Hijau. These equations have the form:
where $x$ is the distance in meters, $R$ is the element ratio, and $a$ and $b$ are exponential fit parameters.

A selection of distance prediction equations based on several element ratios, together with their approximate range of validity and correlation coefficients, are given in Table 2. From the relationships discussed above, we conclude that the slope of the exponential relationship will vary according to the form of the thermal anomaly associated with an intrusive system and therefore absolute distance estimates may not be accurate if applied to systems with markedly different thermal gradients to Batu Hijau (or along the western traverse at Batu Hijau). Nonetheless, trends in estimated distances could still be used to vector towards the center of a system, in the same way as the raw element ratios from which the distance estimates were derived.

Applying the Batu Hijau calibrated Ti/Sr proximitor equation to sample-average Ti/Sr data from Batu Hijau itself shows that for 26 out of 28 samples within a 2 km radius of the deposit center, the distance to center is predicted to within ±170 m. Outside 2 km, where the

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Orders of magnitude variation</th>
<th>Maximum resolvable distance range (m)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Exponent constant ($b$)</th>
<th>Scalar constant ($a$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti/Ni</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>853–2192</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>−0.0039</td>
<td>$4.7 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti/Sr</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>764–1767</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>−0.0088</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti/Li</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>764–2192</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>−0.0041</td>
<td>$7.6 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti/Pb</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>764–1767</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>−0.0074</td>
<td>$6.0 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Ni</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>853–4875</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>−0.0009</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti/Na</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>764–1767</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>−0.0076</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti/K</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>764–1767</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>−0.0062</td>
<td>$6.4 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti/Co</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>764–2192</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>−0.0044</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg/Ca</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>764–4875</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>−0.0009</td>
<td>$7.2 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg/Sr</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>764–1767</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>−0.0051</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg/Sr</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>764–4875</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>−0.0011</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^8$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Plots of element concentration ratios in chlorite as a function of radial distance from the Batu Hijau center. A. Ti/Sr ratio. Gray shaded field shows whole rock ratio data for comparison. Different trends as a function of traverse direction (see Fig. 2) are highlighted. B. Ti/Pb ratio. C. Mg/Sr ratio. D. V/Ni ratio.

Fig. 7. Plot of chlorite geothermometer temperatures (sample average of temperatures calculated for individual microprobe spot analyses) as a function of radial distance from the Batu Hijau center. Chlorite from pre-, syn- and post-mainstage mineralization tonalites and from one skarn sample fall off the trend defined by the majority of chlorites from within the propylitic halo in the volcanic country rocks.
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gradient of the ratio vs. distance relationship starts to decrease, distances to center are underestimated.

5.5. Comparison with metamorphic chlorite

Many terrane hosting porphyry ore deposits include metamorphic host rocks or igneous rocks that have been subjected to relatively low temperature geothermal alteration that is unrelated to porphyry hydrothermal activity. Consequently, the ability to distinguish between porphyry-related propylitic chlorite and that formed in such unrelated systems is desirable.

Batu Hijau chlorite compositions, classified in distance bins relative to the porphyry center, have been compared with metamorphic chlorite from two Proterozoic metamorphic terranes in Australia: the Georgetown Inlier in north Queensland and the Entia Dome in the Northern Territory. The Georgetown samples are greenschist facies metabasalts from the Dead Horse Metabasalt unit (Baker et al., 2010). The Entia Dome samples comprise orthogneisses and calc-silicate gneisses from the Entia Gneiss Complex (Wade et al., 2008). Thus, the sample suite covers a range in bulk compositions that span the range studied at Batu Hijau.

The comparison shows that a number of elements distinguish the metamorphic chlorite from the propylitic chlorite, irrespective of the wide range in bulk composition of the metamorphic rocks (Fig. 11). In particular, Fe and Li concentrations are higher in metamorphic chlorite than in most of the Batu Hijau chlorites analyzed. Distal propylitic chlorite is typically depleted in Al, Fe and Li and enriched in Ca, Sr and Si relative to metamorphic chlorite compositions; this distinction is particularly important given that the most critical area in which discrimination would be necessary is in the distal parts of porphyry-related propylitic domains where other indicators of a porphyry system are likely to be lacking. Although less diagnostic, Ti (higher in metamorphic chlorite), and Mg, Zn, Sb, As, Ag, and U (lower in metamorphic chlorite) can also be potentially used as discriminators.

6. Discussion and conclusions

Major ore deposits are increasingly less likely to be discovered at the Earth’s surface and exploration is therefore more likely to require probing beneath a kilometer or more of barren or weakly altered cover rocks. Drilling to such depths is extremely costly, so the development of a better understanding of hydrothermal alteration patterns and tools to identify proximity to a mineralized center based on analysis of a limited number of drill core or surface samples is of significant interest.

Here, we show that clear patterns in the trace element chemistry of chlorite are developed in the propylitically altered halo of a large porphyry ore deposit. The observed systematic trace element patterns are most likely due to one or both of the following mechanisms: (1) dispersion outward by migrating hydrothermal fluids, as is clearly documented by the large precious and base metal anomalies that surround such deposits (e.g., Sillitoe, 2010); (2) a thermal control of element substitution in chlorite. In the case of Ti, a high field strength element notable for its limited mobility in igneous or hydrothermal systems, the former mechanism is considered unlikely. However, metals such as Zn and Mn are typically enriched in halos surrounding porphyry deposits and are significantly enriched in chlorite above whole rock concentrations. Therefore, advective transport to the site of Zn–Mn-rich chlorite crystallization would appear to be likely.

In particular, Ti shows a very strong relationship with distance from the hydrothermal center which we argue is primarily a function of crystallization temperature. The approximately exponential decrease in Ti content with distance is consistent with thermal gradients expected around cooling intrusions (e.g., Delaney, 1986; see Fig. 12). We suggest that this exponential relationship breaks down at a certain distance from the center where a transition to “background chlorite” – that did not crystallize in the presence of propylitic hydrothermal fluids – occurs. This distal chlorite appears to show no systematic compositional variation relative to the porphyry center and also displays more scatter in compositional parameters (e.g., Fig. 5).
We are not aware of previous studies documenting the thermal dependence of Ti in chlorite although this control is known for biotite (Henry & Guidotti, 2002; Henry et al., 2005; Patiño Douce, 1993; Patiño Douce et al., 1993). Similar to biotite, we propose that the substitution of Ti into the octahedral site in chlorite is thermally-controlled, requiring coupled substitutions involving multiple cations and possibly anions. Substitution could also account for many of the other systematic trace element patterns that we have recognized that either correlate positively (e.g., Mg, Al, V) or negatively (Li, B, Ca, Sr, Ba) with Ti and temperature. Biotite typically contains much higher concentrations of Ti than we have determined in chlorite and so the precipitation of Ti-bearing oxides (most commonly titanite, but also rutile at Batu Hijau) is an expected outcome of the biotite → chlorite replacement reaction, the most common chlorite-forming reaction we have observed. The liberation of Ti in this reaction has also been reported from retrograde metamorphic rocks (Eggleton & Banfield, 1985). The limited take-up of Ti by chlorite may be due to the high levels of octahedral Al that typify the chlorite structure (Ryan & Reynolds, 1997). The buffering of the Ti content of chlorite by the excess Ti produced from the biotite breakdown reaction may explain why chlorite incorporates Ti in such a systematic way, possibly in part related to an inverse temperature dependence of Al in the octahedral site.

In addition to temperature, other factors that could control substitution of Ti (and other elements) are the Al, Fe and Mg content of mafic phases which in turn reflect the chemistry of the protolith rocks, the oxidation state and the pH of the fluids concerned (e.g., Shikazono & Kawahata, 1987). Batu Hijau provides a relatively uniform protolith environment in which such factors are limited. The somewhat anomalous chlorite compositions recorded in the tonalite samples could be due to protolith chemistry or may reflect a different origin of chlorite from that developed in the propylitic halo. Such controls of the concentration of Ti (and other trace elements) in chlorite require further investigation in more compositionally heterogeneous systems. By analogy with Ti substitution in biotite (Patiño Douce, 1993), oxidation state may influence Ti in chlorite; however, it will be difficult to evaluate the relative roles of temperature and redox in natural samples from the propylitic environment because of the strong coupling between these two parameters.

Some elements, such as Zn and Mn, are easily accommodated in the chlorite structure (Deer et al., 1966) and may attain significant concentrations. At Batu Hijau, these show a chlorite concentration maximum at a distance of about 1.3 km from the center of the system which mirrors the whole rock anomalies defined by these metals (Fig. 9). Consequently, these elements are thought likely to be advected by magmatic-hydrothermal fluids to at least this distance from the porphyry deposit itself. It has been previously suggested that hypersaline magmatic brines, known to be enriched in Mn, Zn and Pb (Audétat et al., 2010), could explain these anomalous concentrations in chlorite.
may have precipitated these metals during cooling in contact with rocks undergoing propylitic alteration and dilution with meteoric water (Hemley & Hunt, 1992). Additional elements that show comparable behavior to this are Fe, Co, Eu and, to a lesser extent, Li.

The thermal dependence of Ti substitution in chlorite provides a powerful tool for mineral exploration in the propylitic environment. The recognition that certain monovalent and bivalent trace elements (Li, K, Ca, Ni, Co, Sr, Ba, Pb) tend to increase in chlorite with distance from porphyry centers allows the generation of Ti/x ratios that vary up to four orders of magnitude. If multiple samples are available, these ratios can provide a sensitive indicator of direction towards a heat source and can also be calibrated to enable estimation of distance from an unknown hydrothermal center, even when it is located at depth. Although chlorite geothermometry alone has been shown to identify the thermal anomaly associated with the Batu Hijau deposit, calculated temperatures vary by less than an order of magnitude and display significant scatter so this is a relatively imprecise vectoring tool. In the case of Batu Hijau, chlorite proximitor ratios and geothermometry could reduce the exploration area to around 3 km² from an initial target area of at least 40 km² (e.g., Fig. 8).

We have shown that gradients in chlorite compositions can map out the thermal structure of the broader magmatic system to which an individual mineralized porphyry intrusion relates. This opens up the possibility that the tool can be combined with conventional gravity or
magnetic data in order to prioritize geophysical targets that are also thermal anomalies. Significantly, this should work even when an intrusion isblind to surface as long as its propylitic envelope is preserved and can be sampled.

Although this method can potentially provide a powerful way to identify the centers of hydrothermal systems from within propylitic altered domains, the results presented have not yet been fully interrogated in terms of the potential fertility (i.e., extent of mineralization) of a system. Comparison between a number of porphyry deposits studied in the AMIRA P765A project suggests that the variability of Zn and Mn concentration maxima in chlorite is related to metal endowment, perhaps reflecting the total mass of these metals fluxed through the system. Work is ongoing to establish whether these, and other, criteria can be used as a consistent indicator of porphyry system fertility.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2015.01.005.
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