Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae abundance in river water

Running page head: *T. bryosalmonae* abundance in river water

Fontes, I.[1,2,3,4], Hartikainen, H.[3,4], Holland, Jason W.[2], Secombes, Chris J.[2] and Okamura, B.[1*]

[1] Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK
[2] Scottish Fish Immunology Research Centre, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 2TZ, UK
[3] Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Dübendorf, Switzerland
[4] ETH Zürich, Institute of Integrative Biology (IBZ), Zürich, Switzerland

[*] Corresponding author: b.okamura@nhm.ac.uk

Abstract

Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae is a myxozoan parasite of freshwater bryozoans and salmonids, causing proliferative kidney disease in the latter. To date, detection of the parasite requires collection of hosts and subsequent molecular or histological examination. The release of infectious spores from both hosts offers an opportunity to detect the parasite in water samples. We developed a SYBR® Green quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) assay for *T. bryosalmonae* in water samples which provides an estimation of bryozoan malacospore numbers and tested the assay in three rivers in southern England over a period of five weeks. The assay proved to be both highly sensitive and specific to the parasite, detecting low levels of spores throughout the study period. Larger volume samples afforded greater detection likelihood, but did not increase the number of spores detected, possibly as a result of low and patchy spore distributions and lack of within-site replication of large volume samples. Based on point-measurements, temperature was positively associated with the likelihood of detecting spores, possibly reflecting the temperature dependence of spore shedding from bryozoan hosts. The presence of *T. bryosalmonae* in water samples was predominantly influenced by spatial (sites within rivers, amongst rivers) and temporal (sampling dates) factors, while the latter also influenced C_q values and spore abundance. Environmental monitoring for infectious stages can complement traditional methods, providing faster and easier detection and avoiding potentially prolonged searching, collecting and destructive sampling of invertebrate and vertebrate hosts.

Keywords: Proliferative Kidney Disease; Myxozoa; qPCR; environmental DNA; disease risk

Introduction

Emerging aquatic diseases pose threats to biodiversity, conservation and sustainable use of freshwater resources (Okamura & Feist 2011). Monitoring parasites and pathogens over appropriate temporal and spatial scales is therefore crucial for understanding and predicting the conditions that lead to disease outbreaks. However, detecting infections in the absence of clinical disease and mortality can be challenging and problematic. For example, for many fish diseases, detection involves destructive sampling of already threatened host species and may require numerous individuals to be killed to gain confidence in the results. Time-consuming histopathology or tissue-targeted molecular approaches may then be required to verify parasite presence. As an alternative approach and a complementary tool, molecular detection of parasite DNA in environmental samples is increasingly employed in marine and freshwater environments (Audemard et al. 2006, Hung & Remais 2008, Strand et al. 2014). Thus, the detection and quantification of disease agents in environmental samples offers a unique potential to inform on the ecology and epidemiology of host-parasite interactions by circumventing traditional parasitological approaches. With these advantages in mind, we have developed a quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) assay to detect and characterise, from water samples, the abundance of *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae*. This myxozoan causes proliferative kidney disease (PKD) in salmonids – a disease that has been increasing in prevalence and severity, particularly in fish farms, and whose distribution has been expanding with environmental change.

Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae is an endoparasite of freshwater bryozoans and salmonids, causing PKD in both wild and farmed fish in Europe (Wahli et al. 2007) and North America (Ferguson & Needham 1978). *Tetracapsuloides, Buddenbrockia* and several undescribed species form the Malacosporea (Fiala et al. 2015), a small and early diverging clade of myxozoans (Canning et al. 2000). *T. bryosalmonae* spores (referred to as malacospores: Feist et al. 2015) released in the urine of fish measure some 16µm in width and 14 µm in height (Kent & Hedrick 1986, Hedrick et al. 2004, Bettge et al. 2009) and are infective to freshwater bryozoans (Morris & Adams 2006, Grabner & El-Matbouli 2008). In bryozoans the parasite forms sacs (up to 350µm in diameter) filled with many thousands of spherical spores of approximately 20µm in diameter (Canning et al. 2000, McGurk et al. 2005, Okamura et al. 2011). Malacospores released from sacs are ejected from bryozoans and remain infectious to

fish for 12-24h (Feist et al. 2001, De Kinkelin et al. 2002). The smaller spores of *T. bryosalmonae* released from fish possess two capsulogenic cells, a single sporoplasm and two valve cells (Morris & Adams 2008). The larger spores released from bryozoans have four capsulogenic cells, two sporoplasms, and some eight or ten valve cells (Feist et al. 2015). Little is known about the timing of malacospore release from bryozoan and fish hosts nor of variation in spore abundance in natural systems. In bryozoan hosts, spore production has been observed to occur predominantly in spring and autumn (Tops et al. 2006), which should lead to increased spore concentrations in water at these times. However, because naïve fish become infected in other seasons, spores released from bryozoans are likely to be present year-round (Gay et al. 2001). The presence of sporogonic stages (pseudoplasmodia in kidney tubules) in naturally-infected fish has been described as rare and spores are estimated to be released in numbers that will be greatly diluted in the natural environment (maximum concentration estimate = 120 spores/ml urine) (Hedrick et al. 2004).

Detection of *T. bryosalmonae* currently includes searching for and collection of patchily distributed freshwater bryozoans, followed by qualitative PCR to confirm infection or examining dissected bryozoans for spore-producing sacs. Detection of infection in wild fish typically involves electrofishing, dissection and subsequent histopathology or conducting PCR/qPCR of fish tissues (e.g. Grabner & El-Matbouli 2009, Kumar et al. 2013). qPCR assays to detect and quantify other myxozoans in water samples have been developed for *Ceratonova shasta* (Hallett & Bartholomew 2006), *Parvicapsula minibicornis* (Foott et al. 2007), *Henneguya ictaluri* (Griffin et al. 2009), *Ceratonova puntazzi* (Alama-Bermejo et al. 2013) and *Kudoa yasunagai* (Ishimaru et al. 2014) (for review, see Fontes et al. 2015). The development of qPCR to enable molecular detection and quantification specific to *T. bryosalmonae* spores in water samples would help to avoid or reduce the labour-intensive approaches currently employed to ascertain the presence and abundance of *T. bryosalmonae* in water bodies.

The aims of this study were to: (1) Develop a novel *T. bryosalmonae* SYBR® Green qPCR assay; (2) Use the assay to quantify *T. bryosalmonae* spores in rivers with known PKD occurrence in southern England over time; (3) Determine how the detection and abundance of *T. bryosalmonae* spores are affected by sample location, sample volumes, time of sampling, and point-measurements of water temperature and flow.

Materials and Methods

Sampling sites

The sites selected for this study are on the Rivers Avon and Itchen in Hampshire and the River Dun in Berkshire. The rivers represent spring-fed chalk stream systems that harbour wild brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) populations in lowland habitats in southern England. The rivers also provision rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fish farms that sustain regular PKD outbreaks. The bryozoan, *Fredericella sultana* occurs abundantly in the rivers growing as dense stands of colonies attached to submerged roots of riparian alder and willow trees. Water was sampled for qPCR studies near three separate *F. sultana* populations that were known to be infected (Fontes 2015) (on three different tree root systems) in each river.

Water sampling

Water samples were collected every Monday for five weeks in the three rivers during the period when high numbers of spores were expected to be released from infected bryozoans (May-June) (Tops 2004). Sampling of the Rivers Avon and Dun commenced on 14/05/12 and of the River Itchen on 13/05/13. Water samples were collected from approximately 30 cm below the water surface and 1 m downstream from the tree root systems (see Figure 1). One 2L plastic bottle was filled downstream from each root system by submerging the bottle to collect incoming flow. The submerged bottle was oriented upstream and slowly moved from side to side to collect water across approximately a 1.5 m stretch of the river, perpendicular to the river bank. In addition to these 2L samples, a bucket was used to fill one 24L plastic container with water collected 1m downstream from the most downstream of the three roots in each river (Figure 1). Hence, for each sampling point a total of four water samples were taken in each river: three 2L samples at each root and one 24L sample at the most downstream root. At each sampling date, point-measurements of water temperature and water flow (mean velocity over 60 s, using an electromagnetic open channel flow meter (Model 8008/801, Valeport Ltd., Totnes, UK) were noted at each root. The samples were stored at 4℃ in the original collection containers and filtered within 24 h of collection.

Water filtration and DNA extraction

The 24L samples were pre-filtered through a 30 µm mesh in the field as the containers were filled. All samples were then filtered in the laboratory onto cellulose nitrate filter membranes (3 µm pore size, 142 mm diameter; Sartorius Stedim Biotech GmbH, Goettingen, Germany) at 1 bar using a pressure filtration system (Sartorius Stedim Biotech GmbH, Goettingen, Germany). Filter papers were scraped with a razor blade and the scrapings placed in a 1.5 ml micro-centrifuge tube and stored at -80℃. The filtration system was rinsed thoroughly with deionised water and razor blades were sterilised using EtOH before processing each sample. Samples were freeze-dried at -56°C to remove excess water and DNA was extracted using an UltraClean® Soil DNA kit (MO BIO Laboratories Inc., Carlsbad, California, USA). The 50 µL eluted DNA was then preserved at -20℃ and defrosted temporarily for screens.

qPCR standards

A 244 bp fragment of the *T. bryosalmonae* small subunit rDNA (SSU rDNA) gene was amplified from a genomic DNA sample derived from 85 mature spores obtained from sacs dissected from field collected colonies (from the River Avon) using the specific primers, 514F_new (5'ATTCAGGTCCATTCGTGAGTAACAAGC-3') (Hartikainen et al. 2013) and 776R (5'-GCTGATACACCCAATTAAGGGCAG-3') (Morris et al. 2002). The resulting PCR product was purified and concentration measured using a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 8000 Spectrophotometer (in ng/µl), adjusting it to 1 nM in 1 ml of TE buffer based on the mean molecular weight of a base pair (i.e. 660 Da). A 1:10 serial dilution of the standardised 1 nM solution was performed and used as a template for the qPCR standard curve (n = 7 concentrations) with the primers described below (518F_Q and 680R_Q nest completely within 514F_new and 776R). The seven standards used encompassed the full range of samples tested. All quantification cycle (C_q) values were determined using a fixed threshold normalised fluorescence of 0.1 (obtained manually) across all runs. The standard curve was applied to all runs using the first standard (1e-12 mol/L) to normalise each respective run.

qPCR assay

To detect and quantify *T. bryosalmonae* SSU rDNA, a SYBR® Green qPCR assay was developed, using species specific primers 518F_Q (5'- CAGGTCCATTCGTGAGTAACAA-3') and 680R_Q (5'- TGCCTCCTTAGTTAGGTAGACAAA-3' (Sigma-Aldrich®, Poole, UK; primers were purified using the desalted method) and targeting a 182 bp fragment of the *T. bryosalmonae* SSU rRNA gene. Primers were designed based on inspection of

comprehensive alignment of all known malacosporean 18S SSU rDNA sequences. Dimer formation and primer quality were checked using NetPrimer (http://www.premierbiosoft.com/netprimer/) and via blast searches against the NCBI GenBank database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/). Primers developed for the assay target a portion of the SSU rRNA gene, which is present in the parasite genome as a tandem repeat unit. Focussing on multi-copy genes provides an advantage over single-copy genes in terms of detection sensitivities. Furthermore, as the number of tandem copies is approximately the same in each cell, SSU rDNA avoids potential biases associated with mitochondrial targets, which, although present in multiple copies, may vary in number depending on developmental stage, cell type and physiological state. The final volume of the qPCR mix was 10 µL that was comprised of: 1 µL of template DNA, 1x of Rotor-Gene SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany), 1 µM of each primer and molecular grade water (Fisher Scientific). The relative concentration of each primer was optimised in a test of nine combinations from 0.05 - 1 µL of either forward or reverse primer. The optimal combination was 1 µL of each primer as this was the one that produced the lowest C_q value and the highest fluorescence (see Online Table 1). A CAS-1200™ pipetting robot (Corbett Life Science, Mortlake, Australia) was used to prepare and dispense the master mix and template DNA into 0.2 ml clear PCR tube strips (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Each qPCR run included the following (in duplicate): (1) Negative control (water only); (2) Positive control (gDNA from a single *T. bryosalmonae* spore released from a bryozoan host); (3) Water samples to be tested; and (4) Seven qPCR standards (1e-12 to 1e-18 mol/L). A sample would only be considered to be positive if both duplicate reactions were positive. Reactions were performed in a Rotor-Gene™ 6000 real-time PCR machine (Corbett Life Science, Mortlake, Australia) and runs analysed using the Rotor-Gene™ 6000 Series Software 1.7 (Corbett Life Science, Mortlake, Australia). The thermal cycling conditions were: 95℃ for 5 min, followed by 45 cycles of: denaturation at 95℃ for 5 s; and annealing/extension at 60℃ for 10 s (as recommended by QIAGEN for SYBR green assays). Data were acquired at the end of each cycle on the green dye channel (470 ± 10 nm excitation, 510 ± 5 nm detection, 9.67 gain). A melting curve between 74-95℃ was run at the end of each qPCR run. Water samples without a fluorescent signal were re-tested alongside negative and positive control samples. Intra-assay variability (repeatability) was calculated as the coefficient of variation (CV) for concentration variance (standard deviation [SD]) of seven standards and all eDNA samples. Inter-assay variability (reproducibility) was

calculated as the CV of concentrations of each of the seven standards between four runs. All runs were performed by the same operator.

qPCR assay sensitivity and specificity

To test the sensitivity of the assay, serial dilutions (1:10 – 1:100,000) of two positive and three negative (undiluted; used as controls) river water samples were analysed. We considered the limit of detection (LOD) of the assay to be at the highest C_q value after which there was no fluorescence detected in dilutions of positive samples (Francois et al. 2003, Hallett & Bartholomew 2006). This definition of LOD is conservative for detection, as it minimises the chances of false positives.

To test that the primers used were specific for *T. bryosalmonae*, we undertook qPCR analysis using the following range of templates: other malacosporean samples (*Buddenbrockia allmani*; *B. plumatellae*; *Buddenbrockia* species 2 and novel lineages 1-3 (Hartikainen et al. 2014); *T. bryosalmonae* sacs and respective spores; *Fredericella sultana* colonies not infected by *T. bryosalmonae*; *F. sultana* colonies with covert and overt *T. bryosalmonae* infections; uninfected and *T. bryosalmonae*-infected rainbow trout kidney tissue, the latter showing clinical signs of PKD. qPCR products of samples exhibiting a fluorescent signal were verified by direct sequencing on an ABI PRISM® 3700xl DNA analyser (Applied Biosystems™, Foster City, USA) using BigDye v1.1 chemistry.

Inhibition testing

To assess the presence of PCR inhibitors, qPCR amplification of an internal positive control (IPC) was compared in reactions containing eDNA extract to those only containing DNA-free water (Sigma-Aldrich®, Poole, UK). This test was carried out for a subset of samples (Online Table 2). A total of seven river water samples (3 x 24L and 4 x 2L) were randomly selected for this test. A synthetic IPC template was designed (5'-GTATTCCTGGTTCTGTAGGTTGAGCGTAAAACGACGGCCAGTGAATTGTAATACGACATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCCGATACGGAAGTCCAGTCACAT -3') (Microsynth AG; 97 bp, purified using the desalted method), inluding two priming sites with no known homology to published sequence data. The IPC template concentration was adjusted to 1 nM (using Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer) and stored in TE buffer. A serial dilution (1:10) of the standardised solution was performed and the standard 1e-14 mol/L used as the IPC in a qPCR

assay with primers MIMf (5'- GTATTCCTGGTTCTGTAGGTTGAGC -3') and MIMr (5'-ATGTGACTGGACTTCCGTATCG -3'). A QIAgility pipetting robot (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany) was used to prepare and dispense the master mix and template DNA, as well as the eDNA sample potentially containing the inhibitors. Each reaction containing IPC and eDNA sample was run in duplicate. In control reactions the eDNA was replaced with DNA-free water and ran as six replicates. Total reaction volume was 10 µL containing: 1e-15 mol/L of IPC, 1 µL of a river water sample or DNA-free water, 1x SYBR® Select Master Mix (Applied Biosystems™, Foster City, USA) and 0.4 µM of each primer (MIMf and MIMr). The thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 50℃ for 2 min; 95℃ for 2 min; and 40 cycles of denaturation at 95℃ for 15 s and annealing/extension at 60℃ for 1 min. This test was run on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems™, Foster City, USA) using a standard ramp speed and analysed using the 7500 Software version 2.0.6 (Applied Biosystems™, Foster City, USA). C_q values were determined using a fluorescence threshold of 0.1 (obtained manually). Significant inhibition in a sample was defined as a difference of > three cycles between mean C_q values of IPC reactions with and without eDNA (Hartman et al. 2005). In such cases, samples were not used for further analyses as results of the *T. bryosalmonae* assay may appear biased due to inhibition. In addition to this test, the serial dilutions of the river water samples used in the sensitivity test were run with and without the inclusion of bovine serum albumin (BSA; 250 ng/µL final concentration) in the master mix.

Estimating rDNA content of malacospores from bryozoans

To estimate the number of *T. bryosalmonae* spores present in river water samples, we used forceps and a needle to release bryozoan malacospores from a sac. Spores were then rinsed in deionised water and individually pipetted, using a micro-injector (at 100-400 x magnification), into 1.5 ml micro-centrifuge tubes and stored at -80℃. DNA from each spore was extracted using a DNeasy® Blood & Tissue kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany), eluted in 200 µL TE buffer, and quantified using the qPCR protocol described above for water samples. Six individually extracted spores were used to estimate the SSU rDNA content of a single mature malacospore obtained from a bryozoan host. No malacospores from fish were available.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R (version 2.15.1) (R Core Team 2014). Welch two sample t-tests were used to test differences in C_q values assessed in: 1) IPC reactions spiked with and without river water; and 2) IPC reactions spiked with 2L and 24L river water samples. Parasite presence and abundance in river water samples was related to potential explanatory variables (sampling type (2L or 24L); point-measurements of water temperature; and water flow) using Generalised Linear Mixed Models (GLMMs) following the methods described in Zuur et al. (2009). The lme4 package (version 1.1-7) was used to analyse the parasite presence/absence data, assuming a binomial error distribution (Bates et al. 2013). For parasite abundance, the nlme package (version 3.1-117 was used, assuming a Gaussian error distribution (Pinheiro et al. 2014). Random effects models with no fixed factors were used to determine the optimal random effects structure using restricted maximum likelihood estimation (REML, parasite presence) or maximum likelihood estimation (ML, parasite abundance). Univariate analyses were then performed on each explanatory variable and those with P-values below 0.25 were included in a maximal model using ML following a visual check to remove any covariates that were strongly correlated. Non-significant variables and interactions were eliminated in a stepwise fashion, removing the least significant relationships first until only variables significant at $P < 0.05$ remained. Random intercept and slope models with intercept values of the significant fixed effects were evaluated and only retained if they led to a significant reduction in a model's log-likelihood.

Results

qPCR sensitivity and specificity testing

The linear standard curve (Online Figure 1) had a slope of -3.37, a correlation coefficient (R^2) of 0.998 and an amplification efficiency of 98%. Although this standard curve was applied to all the runs using the first standard as a reference, the standards curves included in each run performed well with efficiency being higher than 96% and an R^2 ranging from 0.989 - 0.999. The dilution series of two known positive samples (both replicates fluorescing) indicated that the fluorescence signal was lost at an approximate mean C_q value of 31.01 and 27.46 in each qPCR-positive water sample (Figure 2 and Online Table 3). This suggests that the LOD for this assay is 31 C_q as this was the highest value obtained for the two positive samples. The mean concentration of parasite rDNA in a bryozoan malacospore, based on six individual spores, was 1.96e-18 mol/L (± 1.69e-19 SD) (i.e. 25.83 C_q) in 200 µL, which equates roughly

to 0.005 spores per qPCR reaction based on a reaction volume of 10 µL. A value of 31 C_q equates to 0.089 spores in 50 µL of eluted DNA (1.75e-19 mol/L - calculated using the standard curve equation presented in Online Figure 1 [C_q = 10^(-0.297 * 31 – 9.551)]) or 0.0018 spores per qPCR reaction (spore numbers calculated based on the concentration of 1 spore [1.96e-18 mol/L]). Samples that were negative when undiluted did not exhibit any fluorescence at any dilution (see Figure 2).

Melting curves produced two peaks. The first was at ~77.3℃, corresponding to the presence of primer dimer. The second peak, at ~84.5℃, corresponded to amplification of the target template. Negative samples and controls produced the first peak, in positive samples only the second peak was present. Although an LOD of 31 C_q was implied by the sensitivity analysis, samples with a sub-LOD concentration were found in 22% of the river samples (n = 60), with C_q values up to 34.5 (corresponding to 0.015 spores in 50µL of eluted DNA (2.96e-20 mol/L) or 0.0003 spores per qPCR reaction). Differences between the sensitivity analysis and the tested samples suggest that a C_q of 31 is the limit of quantification (LOQ) which, for complex samples, is generally 5 - 10 times higher than the absolute LOD (Berdal & Holst-Jensen 2001). The assay exhibited both low to high repeatability and high reproducibility. The former is supported by substantial variation in intra-assay variance for eDNA samples (CV range = 3.21 – 74.70%) and a low intra-assay variance for standards (CV range = 0.08 – 39.24%). The latter is supported by the small inter-assay variance for the concentrations of all seven standards (CV range = 5.28 – 10.03%; see Online Table 4). Therefore, we set the LOQ in the qPCR assay at 31 C_q, which is six times the concentration of the absolute LOD (34.5 C_q). For statistical analyses, the LOQ was used as the cut-off for parasite presence and abundance.

The qPCR assay was highly specific to the presence of *T. bryosalmonae.* No amplification was observed in negative samples (i.e. uninfected *F. sultana* colony, uninfected rainbow trout kidney and *Buddenbrockia* samples) and all amplified products were verified by sequence analysis as belonging to *T. bryosalmonae*. The C_q results for *T. bryosalmonae* were as follows: one bryozoan malacospore (mean = 27.45, SD = 0.08, n = 6); and sacs with an unknown number of spores at potentially different developmental stages (mean = 10.43, SD = 0.37). Infected host material produced the following C_q results: overtly infected colony

(mean = 15.34, SD = 0.31); covertly infected colony (mean =24.13, SD = 0.11); and PKD-affected rainbow trout kidney (mean = 18.35, SD = 0.00).

Inhibition testing

A significant increase in C_q values during IPC amplification was observed when river water was added to reactions (Welch Two Sample t-test: t = 2.942, d.f. = 8.595, P = 0.017), indicating the presence of PCR inhibition. Spiking of an IPC reaction with river water samples (Online Table 2) increased C_q values on average by 1.112 (C_q with river water, mean = 17.452, SD = 0.341, n = 7; C_q without river water: mean = 16.340, SD = 0.166, n = 6). As differences were lower than three cycles all samples were used in the subsequent analyses. Apparent effects of inhibition were larger in 2L than 24L samples, with significantly higher C_q values in 2L samples (mean = 18.005, SD = 0.814, n = 4) than in 24L samples (mean = 16.715, SD = 0.152, n = 3) (Welch Two Sample t-test: t = 3.102, d.f. = 3.279, P = 0.047). The standard deviation between replicates of all IPC reactions was small (mean = 0.219, SD = 0.188, n = 8) and the dissociation melting curves of positive reactions produced a sharp peak at ~79℃. The addition of BSA to PCR reactions did not improve amplification success (see Online Table 3).

Parasite presence and abundance in river water

A total of 60 water samples (20 for each river) were collected (15 x 2L samples and 5 x 24L samples per river). The mean temperature of five point measurements was not significantly different between the Rivers Dun and Itchen, although it differed significantly between the Rivers Avon and Itchen and between the Rivers Avon and Dun (see Table 1). Hence, the River Avon was the warmest of the three rivers during the sampling period. Point-measurements of water temperatures in the River Itchen remained lower and more stable (10.5 - 12.6℃) throughout the study than in the other two rivers (Avon: 12.0 - 18.4℃, Dun: 10.5 - 15.9℃), where temperatures peaked in the third week (Figure 3a-c). Temperature did not vary significantly between roots within a river, although water flow did (see Table 1), particularly in the River Avon (see Figure 3a-c). There was no clear link between water flow and temperature, the exception being in the River Avon when both environmental variables peaked in the third week.

Almost half of the samples tested positive for *T. bryosalmonae* (40%). *T. bryosalmonae* was detected in water samples taken each week in the Rivers Avon and Dun, but was only detected in the River Itchen samples collected during the last two weeks of sampling (Figure 4). Parasite DNA was rarely detected in all samples from a given river on a given date. The overall proportion of positive samples was higher in the River Avon (60%), than in the Rivers Dun (40%) and Itchen (20%). The presence of *T. bryosalmonae* in water samples was predominantly influenced by the individual rivers, root systems, and sampling dates. These factors were subsequently included as random effects in mixed models to assess the significance of fixed explanatory variables (sample volume, flow and point-measurements of water temperature). Parasite DNA was detected more often using the 24L than 2L sampling method. The 2L samples were 0.11 times (odds ratio) less likely to contain *T. bryosalmonae* than 24L samples acquired on the same date. A total of 29% and 73% of 2L and 24L samples, respectively, were positive. Temperature also had an effect on the presence of *T. bryosalmonae* in the river water, with a unit increase in temperature increasing the likelihood of presence by 1.60 times (odds ratio) (see Table 1).

C_q values in the river water samples were very close to the LOD, particularly those of 2L samples (mean = 29.498, SD = 1.332, n = 13). Although not significant, C_q values decreased and variation increased slightly in the 24L samples (mean = 28.989, SD = 1.355, n = 11). Sampling date explained most of the variation in C_q values and none of the explanatory variables were significantly associated with C_q values (see Table 1).

The estimated numbers of spores (converted from the template concentrations) ranged from 0.15-3.56 in the 2L sampling method (mean = 0.623, SD = 0.912, n = 13) and from 0.19-4.46 in the 24L sampling method (mean = 0.894, SD = 1.214, n = 11) (Figure 4 and Online Table 5 for the corresponding C_q values). Both methods detected up to 4 spores per water sample but most positive samples using both methods contained less than one spore. In the River Avon, *T. bryosalmonae* was more likely to be present in water sampled near the root system furthest downstream, but no detectable pattern in spore detection relative to root systems was found in the Rivers Dun and the Itchen (Online Table 5). The lower detection frequency in the River Itchen was notable and was possibly associated with lower temperatures in this river. Although not significant (see Table 1), spore numbers and variation in spore numbers

increased slightly in the 24L samples relative to the 2L samples. Sampling date explained most of the variation in spore number.

Discussion

A new qPCR assay for T. bryosalmonae

The novel *T. bryosalmonae* SYBR® Green qPCR assay to detect and quantify spores in water samples performed consistently in three river systems over space and time. The LOD of the assay was 34.5 C_q and the LOQ was 31 C_q, corresponding to 0.0003 and 0.0018 spores per qPCR reaction, respectively. The assay was both sensitive and reliable, quantifying the estimated SSU rDNA content of 0.005 spores consistently in six biological replicates (mean = 25.83, SD = 1.24). The number of spores detected by the 2L sampling method ranged from approximately 0.05 to 3.56 and by the 24L method from 0.02 to 4.46 spores. We suggest that reasons why the larger samples did not detect more spores include patchiness of spores and lack of replication within sites of large volume samples. We provide below further discussion on how inhibition and spore quantification methods may affect our estimates.

Inhibition testing

Detection of pathogens in natural water samples can be severely limited by PCR inhibition due to substances such as calcium and humic acids (Opel et al. 2010). We developed an IPC molecule and associated primers which can be used to test for the presence of inhibition in reactions run alongside the quantification reactions. Although the C_q values increased significantly when river water was added, the effect size was deemed to be low (1.112 cycles). Hartman et al. (2005) only consider a shift in C_q values of $\geq$ three cycles as a sign of inhibition. In our case no 24L samples showed significant inhibition, whereas 2L samples from the Rivers Dun and Avon (but not Itchen) showed signals of low level inhibition. This result is unexpected as large volume samples would be expected to suffer more from accumulation of inhibitory compounds. In this study, the processing of the 2L and 24L samples differed by pre-filtration through a 30 µm mesh, which was only applied to the 24L samples. It remains untested whether this may have removed particles carrying inhibitor compounds and could explain the lower inhibition signal in the 24L samples. It should be noted that these results are based on a low number of samples and thus interpreting patterns between sample volumes is difficult. Nevertheless, the results underlie the conclusion that the

effects of inhibition in our samples are likely negligible. Also, no improvement to the final workflow was seen following the dilution of river water samples, nor by the addition of BSA, suggesting that the inhibition present in our river water samples is minimal as shifts of one cycle can occur between runs and instruments. We recommend that an IPC is always included to monitor the presence of inhibition in eDNA samples and may even be multiplexed into sample assays on platforms allowing fluorescence detection on multiple channels.

Detecting and quantifying* T. bryosalmonae *spores in river water

T. bryosalmonae was detected in at least one water sample on all sampling dates in the Rivers Dun and Avon but was not detected in the River Itchen until the fourth sampling date. Estimated spore numbers were consistently low. The presence of *T. bryosalmonae* in water samples was predominantly influenced by individual rivers, roots within rivers, and sampling dates. However, despite the potential confounding effects of such spatial and temporal variation, we were able to gain some insights into factors that may influence spore presence and abundance. For example, we found that sampling date explained most of the variation in spore number with few or no spores being detected in the early sampling periods in both 2L and 24L samples from each river. In addition, we found that *T. bryosalmonae* was 1.6 times more likely to be detected by qPCR given a unit increase in temperature - a result in keeping with temperature-induced development and release of spores from bryozoans (see Tops & Okamura 2003, Tops et al. 2006). However, it should be noted that the water temperature measurements were only taken once a week rather than continuously. Although water flow was found to be highly variable amongst rivers and roots, we found no effect of flow on the detection or quantification of parasite spores. Foott et al. (2007) similarly found water flow to have no influence on the detection of spores of the myxozoans *C. shasta* and *P. minibicornis* in the Klamath River in California

It should be noted that malacospores released from bryozoans and fish differ in the number of constituent cells. Furthermore, it is likely that these cells vary in ploidy levels. On the basis of cell number, the rDNA content of fish malacospores may be estimated as $\leq$ 50% than that of bryozoan malacospores. However, fish malacospores are diploid while at least a proportion or perhaps all of the cells in bryozoan malacospores are haploid (see Canning et al. 2007 for discussion of ploidy of cells comprising bryozoan malacospores). Until both ploidy levels of

cells and cell numbers of malacospores are fully understood, our approach provides a direct estimate of bryozoan malacospore concentrations but an underestimate of fish malacospore concentrations. Ignoring unrelated copy number variation between spore states and cells and applying the most conservative scenario based on known and proposed states (i.e. diploidy of all fish malacospore cells and no secondary cell within the sporoplasm [Morris & Adams 2008] and diploidy of all bryozoan malacospore cells apart from haploid sporoplasms which, however, do contain an internal haploid secondary cell [Canning et al. 2007]), the rDNA content of fish malacospores would be some 46.7% less than those of bryozoan malacospores. Thus fish malacospore rDNA concentrations would be underestimated by approximately 100%.

A consideration of the dominant spore type in our samples is important given the above variation in genomic DNA content of spores. Although timing of spore release from fish hosts is unknown, spores are released in low numbers in fish urine (Hedrick et al. 2004) and will be greatly diluted. It is therefore unlikely that spores deriving from fish substantially contributed to the patterns detected here, particularly as we collected water directly downstream from bryozoan populations known to sustain infections of *T. bryosalmonae*.

The 24L sampling method was more efficient than the 2L sampling method at detecting *T. bryosalmonae* with detection more likely for the larger volume samples taken on the same dates and at the same root systems. However, the numbers of spores estimated by qPCR for 2L and 24L samples were comparable (from 0.15 - 4.5). Detection of parasite DNA was expected on all sampling dates based on our knowledge of spore development in bryozoans in the field sites under investigation and results of previous studies quantifying myxozoans in 2L water samples by qPCR (Hallett & Bartholomew 2006). This was generally the case for samples from the Rivers Dun and Avon, although not always for all samples on each date. Lack of detection in some replicate samples is likely to represent false negatives due to failure to detect or to capture spores in the samples. Since the assay was shown to be highly sensitive and inhibition was low, the latter seems the most likely explanation - a premise supported by the generally low spore concentrations in each river. The lower incidence of false negatives in the two rivers with the 24L sampling technique (10%; n = 10) when compared to the 2L method (63%; n = 30) provides additional support for this inference. However, the consistent lack of detection of *T. bryosalmonae* in qPCR assays on River Itchen

493 water collected during the first three weeks suggests true absence during this period, possibly
494 reflecting temperatures that remained relatively low on this river.
495
496 Although limited in being only a rough estimation, parasite abundance was not significantly
497 higher in the large volume samples. This is consistent with previous evidence (the high
498 proportion of false negative samples for 2L samples and qPCR readings close to the LOD)
499 that spore concentrations in the rivers were low at the time of sampling. However, different
500 DNA extraction methods were used for spore samples and river water samples and this may
501 affect the accuracy of our estimates. The handling of the spores collected in the laboratory
502 and those collected as parts of eDNA samples also differed, e.g. the spores from the
503 environment always underwent a filtration procedure which was not the case for laboratory
504 collected spores. This may have further biased the yield of DNA and potentially results in an
505 underestimate of spore numbers deriving from eDNA samples. Sample types should undergo
506 similar treatments in future studies to ensure parallel conversions to spore numbers.
507 Moreover, the fragile nature of malacosporean spores may result in lysis during water
508 filtration and subsequent loss of DNA through the fixed-pore size membranes. Use of glass
509 fibre filters may guard against the latter scenario, as such filters are known to bind free DNA
510 (Nygaard & Hall 1963). Certainly, the abundances of *T. bryosalmonae* inferred by qPCR
511 were much lower than those estimated in similar studies on myxosporean myxozoans. These
512 contrasting abundances may be explained by the more robust nature and greater longevity of
513 spores produced by myxosporeans relative to those of malacosporeans. For example, Hallett
514 & Bartholomew (2006) detected 1 - 20 spores/L of the myxosporean, *C. shasta*, in river water
515 and Griffin et al. (2009) detected 37 - 249 spores/L of the myxosporean, *H. ictaluri*, in pond
516 water. On the other hand, Alama-Bermejo et al. (2013) only detected up to 1 spore of the
517 myxosporean *Ceratonova puntazzi* in 8L of sea water. It is possible that spore abundances of
518 some myxozoans are naturally low. Further investigation is, however, required as we sampled
519 water over a relatively short period of time, at a similar time each day, and did not
520 simultaneously sample bryozoan populations to ascertain spore production.
521
522 ***Caveats and recommendations for future studies***
523 The primers developed for the assay were verified to be specific to the genus
524 *Tetracapsuloides*. Alignments with other putative *Tetracapsuloides* species (Bartošová-
525 Sojková et al. 2014) were inspected, but no DNA isolates were available for testing with the

primers developed in this study. Since the primers contain 3 - 6 mismatches to the most closely related *Tetracapsuloides* species (Bartošová-Sojková et al. 2014), they may also amplify SSU rDNA from these species, especially in the absence of the specific target. Therefore, in environments where the fish fauna is diverse, we recommend post-qPCR sequencing to further verify results. We also recommend examining the melting curve to distinguish between true and false positive samples. Melting curves should produce a sharp peak at ~84.5℃ for true positive samples while false positive samples will only amplify primer dimer with a wide peak at ~77.3℃. However, some variation in the template peak temperature, due to either minor pipetting error or nucleotide differences when analysing samples potentially containing different *T. bryosalmonae* strains, should be taken into consideration.

A potential limitation in the use of environmentally derived DNA to study parasites with multiple host life-cycles is the inability to distinguish between parasite stages released from different hosts. For example, *T. bryosalmonae* DNA detected in our water samples could have four potential sources: (1) Spores that developed and matured in bryozoans; (2) Spores originating from salmonids; (3) Fragments of infected bryozoan colonies present in the water samples; (4) Loose, non-cell associated DNA. Filter papers were closely examined after filtration and no colonies were ever observed. Trapping of loose DNA on fixed cellulose acetate filters is possible as filter papers progressively clog during processing, but this type of filter should predominantly retain only larger particles. Therefore, most of the signal for *T. bryosalmonae* is likely to be attributed to spores that were released from bryozoan hosts, particularly since sampling was conducted directly downstream from known infected bryozoan populations and when spore production was expected to be relatively high (Fontes pers. obs). Further work is required to characterise temporal variation in abundances of sporogonic stages in renal tubules of wild fish and the spore loads that are shed from fish.

There are many potential processes that may impede eDNA-based detection and absolute quantification. Examples include: (1) Loss of DNA if spores rupture during the filtration process; (2) Sample DNA degradation as a result of repetitive freezing/defrosting processes; (3) Short viability of *T. bryosalmonae* spores (i.e. 12 – 24 h; Feist et al. 2001, De Kinkelin et al. 2002); and (4) Low DNA extraction efficiency. These biases are largely unavoidable but their impact can be minimised by using appropriate standards, positive and negative controls,

559 well-established and routine sampling methods and post-sampling processes that will enable
560 relative and robust comparisons and maximise information gain. For instance, as mentioned
561 above, lysis of DNA through fixed-pore size membranes could be avoided by using glass
562 fibre filters that bind free DNA. In general, the main sources of uncertainty for water sample-
563 based detection methods for parasites and pathogens stem from spatial and temporal variation
564 in the distribution of target organisms, as we have found here. Repeated sampling, increased
565 replication and larger sample volumes may be required to address these issues.
566
567 The assay developed here provides a tool to resolve parasite abundances over fine time scales
568 and for longer periods. Such studies, for example, may detect seasonal peaks and troughs in
569 the abundance of *T. bryosalmonae*, providing insights as to when transmission is generally
570 achieved in the complex life cycle. Meanwhile, daily variation in estimated spore
571 concentrations in water may provide evidence for spore release entrained to a circadian
572 rhythm, coinciding with fish host activities and increased transmission success. Other
573 applications of our assay include examining how *T. bryosalmonae* abundances change over
574 environmental gradients, with the presence and absence of fish farms sustaining PKD
575 outbreaks, and with hydrological connectivity in river networks.
576
577 **Conclusions**
578
579 We present the first eDNA-based protocol for the detection and quantification of *T.*
580 *bryosalmonae* spores in freshwater samples. Our SYBR® Green qPCR assay combined with
581 an IPC provides an easy and rapid method to detect and quantify *T. bryosalmonae.* We have
582 used the assay to characterise variation in spore presence and provide an estimate of
583 abundance in space and time in three river systems. Our new qPCR assay offers a non-
584 destructive means of determining infection risk that may be used to complement traditional
585 monitoring methods.
586
587 **Acknowledgements**
588
589 We are thankful to Darren Butterworth (Trafalgar Fisheries [River Avon]), Robert Starr
590 (Hungerford Fishery [River Dun]), Mrs Pearse and Winchester College (River Itchen) for
591 access to collecting sites. We would also like to thank all field assistants based at the Natural

History Museum (Brian Smith, Laetitia Gunton, Alex Gruhl, Graihagh Hardinge, Jahcub Trew) and Nick Taylor (Centre for Environment, Fisheries & Aquatic Sciences [Cefas]) and Chris Williams (Environment Agency [EA]) for their support for research on assessing the risk of PKD. We thank Sascha Hallett (Oregon State University) for initial advice on techniques. The research was funded by the Natural Environment Research Council (NE/019227/1), the EA and Cefas. IF was also funded by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (SFRH/BD/86118/2012) and Pescanova, SA. HH was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation Sinergia project CRSII3_147649.

References

Alama-Bermejo G, Šíma R, Raga JA, Holzer AS (2013) Understanding myxozoan infection dynamics in the sea: seasonality and transmission of *Ceratomyxa puntazzi*. Int J Parasitol 43:771-780

Audemard C, Ragone Calvo LM, Paynter KT, Reece KS, Burreson EM (2006) Real-time PCR investigation of parasite ecology: in situ determination of oyster parasite *Perkinsus marinus* transmission dynamics in lower Chesapeake Bay. Parasitology 132:827-842

Bartošová-Sojková P, Hrabcová M, Pecková H, Patra S, Kodádková A, Jurajda P, Tyml T, Holzer AS (2014) Hidden diversity and evolutionary trends in malacosporean parasites (Cnidaria: Myxozoa) identified using molecular phylogenetics. Int J Parasitol 44:565-577

Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2013) lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4. Version: 1.0-4 [software package]

Berdal KG, Holst-Jensen A (2001) Roundup Ready® soybean event-specific real-time quantitative PCR assay and estimation of the practical detection and quantification limits in GMO analyses. Eur Food Res Technol 213:432-438

Bettge K, Wahli T, Segner H, Schmidt-Posthaus H (2009) Proliferative kidney disease in rainbow trout: time- and temperature-related renal pathology and parasite distribution. Dis Aquat Org 83:67-76

Canning EU, Curry A, Feist SW, Longshaw M, Okamura B (2000) A new class and order of myxozoans to accommodate parasites of bryozoans with ultrastructural observations on *Tetracapsula bryosalmonae* (PKX organism). J Eukaryot Microbiol 47:456-468

625 Canning EU, Curry A, Hill SLL, Okamura B (2007) Ultrastructure of *Buddenbrockia allmani*
626 n. sp.(Myxozoa, Malacosporea), a parasite of *Lophopus crystallinus* (Bryozoa,
627 Phylactolaemata). J Eukaryot Microbiol 54:247-262
628 De Kinkelin P, Gay M, Forman S (2002) The persistence of infectivity of *Tetracapsula*
629 *bryosalmonae*-infected water for rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). J
630 Fish Dis 25:477-482
631 Feist SW, Longshaw M, Canning EU, Okamura B (2001) Induction of proliferative kidney
632 disease (PKD) in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* via the bryozoan *Fredericella*
633 *sultana* infected with *Tetracapsula bryosalmonae*. Dis Aquat Org 45:61-68
634 Feist SW, Morris DJ, Alama-Bermejo G, Holzer AS (2015) Cellular processes in myxozoans.
635 In: Okamura B, Gruhl A, Bartholomew JL (eds) Myxozoan evolution, ecology and
636 development. Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland
637 Ferguson HW, Needham EA (1978) Proliferative kidney disease in rainbow trout *Salmo*
638 *gairdneri* Richardson. J Fish Dis 1:91-108
639 Fiala I, Bartošová-Sojková P, Okamura B, Hartikainen H (2015) Adaptive radiation and
640 evolution within the Myxozoa. In: Okamura B, Gruhl A, Bartholomew JL (eds)
641 Myxozoan evolution, ecology and development. Springer International Publishing,
642 Cham, Switzerland
643 Fontes, I. (2015) Life history, distribution and invertebrate hostparasite interactions of the
644 causative agent of proliferative kidney disease (PKD), *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae*.
645 PhD, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen
646 Fontes I, Hallett S, TorAtle M (2015) Comparative epidemiology of myxozoan diseases. In:
647 Okamura B, Gruhl A, Bartholomew JL (eds) Myxozoan evolution, ecology and
648 development. Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland
649 Foott JS, Stone R, Wiseman E, True K, Nichols K (2007) Longevity of *Ceratomyxa shasta*
650 and *Parvicapsula minibicornis* Actinospore Infectivity in the Klamath River. J Aquat
651 Anim Health 19:77-83
652 Francois P, Pittet D, Bento M, Pepey B, Vaudaux P, Lew D, Schrenzel J (2003) Rapid
653 detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* directly from sterile or
654 nonsterile clinical samples by a new molecular assay. J Clin Microbiol 41:254-260
655 Gay M, Okamura B, de Kinkelin P (2001) Evidence that infectious stages of *Tetracapsula*
656 *bryosalmonae* for rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* are present throughout the year.
657 Dis Aquat Org 46:31-40

Grabner DS, El-Matbouli M (2008) Transmission of *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* (Myxozoa: Malacosporea) to *Fredericella sultana* (Bryozoa: Phylactolaemata) by various fish species. Dis Aquat Org 79:133-139

Grabner DS, El-Matbouli M (2009) Comparison of the susceptibility of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) and four rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) strains to the myxozoan *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae*, the causative agent of proliferative kidney disease (PKD). Vet Parasitol 165:200-206

Griffin MJ, Pote LM, Camus AC, Mauel MJ, Greenway TE, Wise DJ (2009) Application of a real-time PCR assay for the detection of *Henneguya ictaluri* in commercial channel catfish ponds. Dis Aquat Org 86:223-233

Guy RA, Payment P, Krull UJ, Horgen PA (2003) Real-time PCR for quantification of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in environmental water samples and sewage. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:5178-5185

Hallett SL, Bartholomew JL (2006) Application of a real-time PCR assay to detect and quantify the myxozoan parasite *Ceratomyxa shasta* in river water samples. Dis Aquat Org 71:109-118

Hartikainen H, Fontes I, Okamura B (2013). Parasitism and phenotypic change in colonial hosts. Parasitology 140:1403-1412

Hartman LJ, Coyne SR, Norwood DA (2005) Development of a novel internal positive control for Taqman® based assays. Mol Cell Probes 19:51–59

Hedrick RP, Baxa DV, De Kinkelin P, Okamura B (2004) Malacosporean-like spores in urine of rainbow trout react with antibody and DNA probes to *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae*. Parasitol Res 92:81-88

Hung YW, Remais J (2008) Quantitative detection of *Schistosoma japonicum* cercariae in water by real-time PCR. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2:e337

Ishimaru K, Takumi M, Kazunobu T, Sho S (2014) Seasonal monitoring of *Kudoa yasunagai* from sea water and aquaculture water using quantitative PCR. Dis Aquat Org 108:45-52

Kent ML, Hedrick RP (1986) Development of PKX myxosporean in rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri*. Dis Aquat Org 1:169-182

Kumar G, Abd-Elfattah A, Saleh M, El-Matbouli M (2013) Fate of *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* (Myxozoa) after infection of brown trout *Salmo trutta* and rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Dis Aquat Org 107:9-18

McGurk C, Morris DJ, Adams A (2005) Microscopic studies of the link between salmonid proliferative kidney disease (PKD) and bryozoans. Fish Vet J 62-71

Morris DC, Morris DC, Adams A (2002) Development of improved PCR to prevent false positives and false negatives in the detection of *Tetracapsula bryosalmonae*, the causative agent of proliferative kidney disease. J Fish Dis 25:483-490

Morris DJ, Adams A (2006) Transmission of *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* (Myxozoa: Malacosporea), the causative organism of salmonid proliferative kidney disease, to the freshwater bryozoan *Fredericella sultana*. Parasitology 133:701-709

Morris DJ, Adams A (2008) Sporogony of *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* in the brown trout *Salmo trutta* and the role of the tertiary cell during the vertebrate phase of myxozoan life cycles. Parasitology 135:1075-1092

Nygaard AP, Hall BD (1963) A method for the detection of RNA-DNA complexes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 12:98-104

Okamura B, Feist SW (2011) Emerging diseases in freshwater systems. Freshwat Biol 56:627-637

Okamura B, Hartikainen H, Schmidt-Posthaus H, Wahli T (2011) Life cycle complexity, environmental change and the emerging status of salmonid proliferative kidney disease. Freshwat Biol 56:735-753

Opel KL, Chung D, McCord BR (2010) A study of PCR inhibition mechanisms using real time PCR. J Forensic Sci 55:25-33

Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, Team RC (2014) nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. Version: 3.1-117 [software package]

R Core Team (2014) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. In: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

Strand DA, Jussila J, Johnsen SI, Viljamaa-Dirks S, Edsman L, Wiik-Nielsen J, Viljugrein H, Engdahl F, Vrålstad T (2014) Detection of crayfish plague spores in large freshwater systems. J Appl Ecol 51:544-553

Tops S (2004) Ecology, life history and diversity of malacosporeans. PhD, University of Reading, Reading

Tops S, Lockwood W, Okamura B (2006) Temperature-driven proliferation of *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* in bryozoan hosts portends salmonid declines. Dis Aquat Org 70:227-236

724 Tops S, Okamura B (2003) Infection of bryozoans by *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* at sites
725 endemic for salmonid proliferative kidney disease. Dis Aquat Org 57:221-226
726 Wahli T, Bernet D, Steiner PA, Schmidt-Posthaus H (2007) Geographic distribution of
727 *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* infected fish in Swiss rivers: an update. Aquat Sci
728 69:3-10
729 Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM (2009) Mixed effects models and
730 extensions in ecology with R, Springer, New York

731 **Figure legends:**

732 **Figure 1.** Location of Roots 1-3 in the Rivers Avon (a), Dun (b) and Itchen (c) along with
733 ordnance survey grid references. Root 3 is in the most downstream position and was where
734 24L samples were taken. (source: OS Street View layer [TIFF geospatial data], Scale
735 1:10,000, Coverage: UK, Ordnance Survey (GB), Using: EDINA Digimap Ordnance Survey
736 Service, <http://digimap.edina.ac.uk/>, Downloaded: 20 April 2015, Updated: 26 September
737 2014. © Crown Copyright and Database Right [20/04/2015]. Maps composed in ESRI
738 ArcGIS 10.0.
739
740 **Figure 2.** Results from the *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* sensitivity test of the qPCR
741 assay. A 1:10 serial dilution of two positive samples (Positive sample 1 - Avon 24L, collected
742 downstream from root 3 in the fourth week; Positive sample 2 - Itchen 2L collected
743 downstream from root 3 in the fifth week). The mean quantification cycle (C_q) values and the
744 respective standard errors are presented for each dilution. Each sample was run in duplicate.
745
746 **Figure 3.** Water temperature and flow during the five week period in the Rivers Avon (a),
747 Dun (b) and Itchen (c). Box plots for water flow and temperature include the interquartile
748 range box, the median and the mean (black circles).
749
750 **Figure 4.** Boxplot of *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* spore numbers as estimated by qPCR
751 in 2L water samples collected at three roots and one 24L water sample collected at the most
752 downstream root each week for a period of five weeks in the Rivers Avon, Dun and Itchen.
753 Data for the 2L samples are averaged across the three roots.

754 **Figure 1**



755
756

Figure 2


32.5
30.0
27.5
25.0
22.5
20.0
17.5
15.0
C_T
0
1:10
1:100
1:1,000
1:10,000
1:100,000
Dilution factor
Positive 1
Positive 2

760 **Figure 3**



761

762 **Figure 4**



763

764 **Table 1.** Statistical results on the various analyses (Likelihood Ratio Tests) undertaken
765 testing the significance of the effect of explanatory variables on parasite presence and
766 abundance in river water samples. C_q values, spore numbers, water temperature and water
767 flow variables were mean centered for the statistical analyses.
768

Analysis		**Statistical results**			
		D		**d.f.**	**P**
Comparison of mean temperature between rivers:	Dun vs. Itchen	0.192		1	0.778
	Avon vs. Itchen	35.643		1	0.001
	Avon vs. Dun	30.603		1	0.016
Effect of roots within a river on:	Water temperature	0.064		6	1
	Water flow	0.431		6	<0.001
Effect of sample volume on: (with date included as a random effect)	C_q values	0.913		1	0.339
	Spore number	0.423		1	0.516
-		**Odds Ratio**	**χ^2**	**d.f.**	**P**
Effect of sample volume on likelihood of spore detection		0.11	10.169	1	0.001
Effect of water temperature on likelihood of spore detection		1.60	7.682	1	0.006

769

Online Table 1. Results from the qPCR primer optimisation using different volume combinations of each primer (F = forward and R = reverse) using a sample of a single *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* spore. The results presented are the mean quantification cycle (C_q) values and respective standard deviation.

F primer volume (µL or µM)	R primer volume (µL or µM)	C_q value ± SD
0.05	0.05	38.27 ± 0.69
0.05	0.50	33.95 ± 0.81
0.05	1.00	33.75 ± 2.68
0.50	0.05	31.78 ± 2.27
0.50	0.50	29.49 ± 2.37
0.50	1.00	28.54 ± 2.79
1.00	0.05	33.71 ± 3.41
1.00	0.50	29.51 ± 2.11
1.00	1.00	28.15 ± 3.01

Online Table 2. Results from the qPCR inhibition test using an internal positive control (IPC) using 24L and 2L samples. The results presented are the mean quantification cycle (C_q) values and respective standard deviation of reactions without eDNA (IPC control) and with eDNA spiked (24L and 2L samples).

Sample		**C_q value ± SD**
IPC control		16.34 ± 0.407
24L	Avon Root 3 Week 1	16.80 ± 0.262
	Dun Root 3 Week 4	16.54 ± 0.012
	Itchen Root 3 Week 3	16.81 ± 0.172
2L	Avon Root 3 Week 1	18.27 ± 0.289
	Dun Root 2 Week 2	18.70 ± 0.525
	Dun Root 2 Week 3	18.23 ± 0.004
	Itchen Root 2 Week 3	16.83 ± 0.078

Online Table 3. Results from the *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* qPCR sensitivity test. A 1:10 serial dilution of two positive samples (the three negative samples tested were negative at any dilution) was tested. The results presented are the mean quantification cycle (C_q) values and respective standard deviation in PCR reactions with and without the addition of bovine serum albumin (BSA). There was no significant difference between C_q values obtained with and without BSA (Paired t-test: t = -0.837, d.f. = 5, P = 0.441).

Sample	**Dilution factor**	**C_q value ± SD**	
		No BSA	**BSA**
Itchen 2L Root 3 Week 5	0	21.81 ± 7.88	21.12 ± 0.24
	1:10	25.88 ± 0.18	25.67 ± 0.34
	1:100	31.00 ± 0.20	30.76 ± 0.92
	1:1,000	-	-
	1:10,000	-	30.58 ± 0.87
	1:100,000	-	-
Avon 24L Root 3 Week 4	0	26.13 ± 0.12	26.82 ± 0.09
	1:10	27.46 ± 0.77	27.64 ± 0.16
	1:100	-	30.38 ± 1.23
	1:1,000	-	31.29 ± 0.03
	1:10,000	-	-
	1:100,000	-	-

Online Table 4. Inter-assay variation (reproducibility) of the *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* assay using all seven reference standard points. Calculated mean concentration, standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CV) are given (n = 4 runs).

Expected standard concentration (mol/L)	Calculated mean concentration (mol/L)	SD	CV (%)
1e-12	1.14e-12	9.56e-14	8.40
1e-13	1.12e-13	1.07e-14	9.60
1e-14	8.92e-15	5.28e-16	5.91
1e-15	7.87e-16	4.15e-17	5.28
1e-16	1.02e-16	9.03e-18	8.88
1e-17	9.25e-18	9.28e-19	10.03
1e-18	1.26e-18	1.11e-19	8.78

Online Table 5. *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* abundance according to sampling method, river, root and trip. Abundance is presented as mean quantification cycle (C_q) values and respective standard deviation.

Sampling method	River	Root	C_q value ± SD				
			Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5
2L	Avon	1	-	-	29.21 ± 0.48	-	-
		2	29.80 ± 0.18	-	29.35 ± 0.16	-	30.22 ± 0.13
		3	-	30.58 ± 0.61	29.51 ± 1.22	29.97 ± 0.51	-
	Dun	1	-	-	-	28.27 ± 0.30	-
		2	-	30.50 ± 0.59	30.54 ± 0.05	-	-
		3	29.63 ± 0.32	-	-	-	-
	Itchen	1	-	-	-	-	30.27 ± 0.37
		2	-	-	-	-	-
		3	-	-	-	-	25.63 ± 0.27
24L	Avon	3	29.38 ± 0.50	27.51 ± 0.37	29.03 ± 0.42	26.17 ± 0.16	29.35 ± 0.53
	Dun	3	-	30.62 ± 0.05	30.13 ± 0.35	29.20 ± 0.13	28.28 ± 0.64
	Itchen	3	-	-	-	30.75 ± 0.61	28.48 ± 0.43

Supplementary figure legends:

Online Figure 1. Standard curve derived from a 1:10 serial dilution of an 18S *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* DNA template showing parasite DNA concentration vs. quantification cycle (C_q) value. Each standard was run in duplicate. The curve is significantly linear over seven references from 1e-18 to 1e-12 mol/L.

Online Figure 1